# 0000

# Physical and Chemical Properties of Atmospheric Pollution in Megacities

### Maria de Fátima Andrade

Departamento de Ciências Atmosféricas Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas

Universidade de Sao Paulo

# **Chemical Composition of Atmosphere**



Regulated pollutants: O3, NO2, SO2, MP



# MAIN AIR POLLUTION PROBLEMS

► PM<sub>2.5</sub>

- Secondary organic formation
- Secondary inorganic formation



## ► OZONE

- Contribution of ethanol fuel
- Contribution of gasohol
- Evaporative emissions

hv

 $(NO_2)$ 

RO<sub>2</sub> HO<sub>2</sub>

O<sub>3</sub>.

NO

<section-header><section-header>

#### ISEASE BURDEN CAUSED BY AIR POLLUTION



 90%

 Problem forms

 Ar princip on the basis of the standard with the standard withe standard withe standard withe standard withe standard w

World Health Organization

BREATHELIFE Clean air. Healthy future.

AIR POLLUTION REDUCTIONS

## People in The Americas are breathing cleaner air than 5 years ago

## 60%

About 60% of urban residents in low- and middle-income countries in the Region of the Americas are breathing cleaner air than they did 5 years ago, about the same progress seen in high-income countries in the Region of the Americas.









Fig. 1 a Population in the 30 most populous megacities for 2000–2030, with projected 2030 population indicated by *darker colorv* and b% population change from 2015 to 2030 for the 10 most populous cities in both years (given overlap, this amounts to 13 cities total) [2]



Fig. 2 Global average satellite-derived  $PM_{2.5}$  from 2001 to 2010 (µg/m<sup>2</sup>), including dust(*top p anel*) and the change from 2001 to 2010 (*bottom panel*). 2001 and 2010 estimates were selected from datasets as the center

of a 3-year average (2000-2002 for 2001) [6, 7++]. Most populous megucities (defined for 2015 and 2030 projections) are indicated on the map

#### Curr Clim Change Rep (2016) 2:15-27



#### •Krzyzanowski, 2014

 $PM_{2.5}$  exposure estimates used in GBD2010 analysis were based on a combination of estimates provided by global chemical transport model TM5, and estimates from remote sensing and calibrated to available surface measurements.

Year 2005 emissions from the GAINS emissions data base.

Satellite-derived PM<sub>2.5</sub> estimates were based on the observations of the Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) provided by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) from two instruments (MODIS and MISR).



Annual average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration in cities estimated with GBD2010 model for 2005 and extrapolated to 2010

•Krzyzanowski, 2014



Year 2005 PM<sub>2.5</sub> surfaces at 0.1° grid resolution for the surrounding environs of four mega-cities (São Paulo, Mexico City, New Delhi and Beijing)
 derived from a combination of satellite remote sensing, chemical transport modelling, and ground-based observations

The population-weighted mean of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> surface within the urbanized land area is displayed for each city

#### **Bluer Skies in Beijing**

Pollution levels in China's capital fell as the government clamped down on coal burning





Note: Chart illustrates 30-day moving average of air pollution levels Source: China Air Quality Index

#### Bloomberg

# **Ground-level Ozone Is a Growing Problem in China**

Average concentrations of air pollutants in China as percentages higher or lower than national standards



Source: Ministry of Ecology and Environment's 2013-2017 ecological reports.

Li Mengqi



2013-2017 summer ozone trends for the four megacity clusters

**Fig. 2** Time series of monthly mean MDA8 ozone anomalies in summer (JJA) 2013–2017 for the four megacity clusters of Fig. 1: BTH, YRD. PRD, SCB. MDA8 ozone values for individual  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.625^{\circ}$  grid cells are averaged over each cluster and month, and anomalies are computed relative to the 2013–2017 means for that month of the year. In each panel, observations (red line) are compared with results from an MLR model driven by meteorological variability (blue line). The linear trend of the 3-mo average residuals for each year is shown in black. The MLR model uses the top three meteorological predictors (Table 1) for each  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.625^{\circ}$  grid cell in the cluster, and the results are then averaged for each cluster. The dominant variables in each cluster are indicated in legend with the sign of their correlation to MDA8 ozone. The coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) for the MLR model are shown in the right corner of each plot for the detrended time series (removing the residual linear trend).

PNAS | January 8, 2019 | vol. 116 | no. 2

# PHOTOCHEMISTRY

#### P. S. Monks et al.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the interactions of ozone in the Earth system (EPA, 2009).

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8889-8973, 2015

Table 3.1. Global present-day budget of tropospheric czone

	Best estimate, Tg O3 a'
Sources	
Tropospheric chemical production	4SOO
Transport from stratosphere	500
Sinks	
Tropospheric chemical loss	4000
Deposition	1000

Estimates based on Wu et al. (2007)

Goy P. Brasseor and Daniel J. Jacob, Madeling of Atmospheric Chemistry

- Ozone Precursors: nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), methane (CH4), and nonmethane hydrocarbons (NMHC)
- Importance of hydroxyl (OH) and peroxy radicals (HO2 or RO2)

# PHOTOCHEMISTRY

## Atmospheric Chemistry - Tropospheric ozone

NO<sub>2</sub> (hv,  $\lambda$ <400 nm)  $\bigcirc$  NO + O O + O<sub>2</sub> + M  $\bigcirc$  O<sub>3</sub> ROO + NO  $\bigcirc$  RO + NO<sub>2</sub> NO + O<sub>3</sub>  $\bigcirc$  NO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>2</sub>

## HC and oxigenate species

## Role of VOC on ozone formation

$$COV + OH \xrightarrow{[O_2]} RO_2 + H_2O \cdots R1$$

$$CO + OH \xrightarrow{[O_2]} HO_2 + CO_2 \cdots R2$$

$$RO_2 + NO \xrightarrow{[O_2]} COV_{\text{sec undário}} + HO_2 + NO_2 \cdots R3$$

$$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow OH + NO_2 \cdots R4$$

$$NO_2 + h\upsilon \rightarrow NO + O \cdots R5$$

$$O + O_2 + M \rightarrow O_3 + M \cdots R6$$

RO<sub>2</sub> represents any organic molecula

At night or close to strong sources of NO  $NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2 \cdots R7$ 

## RATIO VOC/NOX AND OZONE FORMATION

NO2 +  $h_V \rightarrow NO + O$ O + O2 +  $M \rightarrow O3 + M$ O3 + NO  $\rightarrow NO2 + O2$  If no nitrogen oxides are available, the reaction cycle cannot take place.
If too much nitrogen oxides are available the excess of nitrogen oxide NO reacts not only with the peroxy-radicals but removes the ozone again.
If no sunlight is available NO cannot be

More V

NOx-limitante

More NOx

**COV-limitante** 

 $O3 + hv \rightarrow O(1D) + O2$ 

recycled again and the formation of peroxy-radicals is not sufficient.

 $O(1D) + H2O \rightarrow 2OH$ . (the radical hidroxila is the key to

understand the tropospheric chemistry)

RH + OH. → R. + H2O R. + O2 → RO2. RO2.+ NO → NO2 + RO.

**OH.** + NO2 +  $M \rightarrow$  HNO3 + M



**Figure 3.9** Schematic of the photochemical pathways leading to the production of  $O_3$  (red lines) and the termination steps that dominate under NO<sub>x</sub>-limited (blue line) and VOC-limited (green line) regimes.



**Figure 3.10** Isopleths of 1-hour maximum  $O_3$  concentrations (in ppm) calculated as a function of initial VOC and  $NO_x$  concentrations and the regions of the diagram that are characterized by VOC- or  $NO_x$ -limitation.



Razão NMHC/Nox 7:00-9:00 horas

> Fig. 8 – Monthly mean (1996–2005) of NMHC/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio registered at Parque Dom Pedro II and São Caetano do Sul sites.



NMHCs average mixing ratios in São Paulo compared with those in other megacities.

Paris and London data were obtained in urban background air quality stations (Evry (AIRPARIF, 2013) and London Eltham site (DEFRA, 2013), respectively). Los Angeles data were attained from CalNEx study in 2010 (ref) (CalNex, 2010).

Dominutti et al., 2016. Atmos. Environ.



Normalized hourly profiles to midnight values of the mixing ratios of selected NMHCs and carbon monoxide (CO) during summer (red lines) and winter (blue lines) 2013.

Dominutti et al., 2016. Atmos. Environ.

# **OZONE PROFILE**





**Fig. 5.** Comparison of integrated total ozone column (DU) obtained by various methods: profiles measured from ground level up to the point at which the balloon burst (Burst<sub>BM</sub>, stars); total ozone column integrated to the stratosphere by interpolation (Stratos<sub>BM</sub>, white bars); total ozone column integrated within the troposphere (Tropos<sub>BM</sub>, black bars); data collected up to the balloon-burst altitude integrated with SBUV data (Burst<sub>BM</sub> + SBUV, open triangles); and satellite remote sensing data (Sat., black squares).

Fig. 3. Vertical profiles during the dry-season campaign: (a) 16 May, 17:30 UTC; (b) 17 May, 03:50 UTC; (c) 18 May, 09:00 UTC; (d) 18 May, 14:00 UTC. Ozone (ppb) in black and air temperature (K) in dark gray.

Ozone sounding in the Metropolitan Area of São Paulo, Brazil: Wet and dry season campaigns of 2006

Evaluation of a superficial ozone concentration increase For 2000 to 2100

Prather et al., GRL 2003





Figure 1. The change in 8-hr. daily maximum surface  $O_3$  concentrations, averaged over the " $O_3$  season" (the three-month period with highest  $O_3$  in each grid cell), due to 20% reductions in global anthropogenic emissions of  $O_3$  precursors. Results are shown at steady state for (a) NMVOCs, (b) CH<sub>4</sub>, (c) CO, and (d) NO<sub>x</sub>, and short-term responses are shown for (e) CO and (f) NO<sub>x</sub>. The short-term response for NMVOCs is nearly identical to the steady-state response.

WEST ET AL.: OZONE POLLUTION AND CLIMATE CONSEQUENCES

GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 34, L06806, doi:10.1029/2006GL029173, 2007



Figura N° to. Change in annual average daily maximum 8-h surface O3 mixing ratios, at steady state, due to a 65 Mtyrt reduction in CH4 emissions relative to the 2030 A2 base case (simulation IV minus III).

WEST ET AL.: OZONE POLLUTION AND CLIMATE CONSEQUENCES



- ► 1- All the FLEX Fuel vehicles running with gasohol
- 2- All the Flex Fuel vehicles running with ethanol

# IMPACT OF ETHANOL/GASOHOL ON OZONE FORMATION

### **Chamber Experiments**



**Figure 1**. Typical ozone formation curves, obtained from exposures to sunlight of alcohol/NO- and gasohol/NO-mixtures.

*J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, Vol. 15, No. 5, 646-651, 2004. Printed in Brazil - ©2004 Sociedade Brasileira de Química 0103 - 5053 \$6.00+0.00



Estimated OFPs (mg  $O_3$  km<sup>-1</sup>) (left) and the percentage contributions (right) at 22 and -7 °C over the NEDC (New European Driving Cycle).

Emissions from a flex-fuel vehicle, fueled with E85 and E75, lead to SOA formation, from Oxygenated compounds.

R. Suarez-Bertoa et al. Primary emissions and secondary organic aerosol formation from the exhaust of a flex-fuel (ethanol) vehicle, In Atmospheric Environment, Volume 117, 2015, Pages 200-211, Acetaldehyde, ethylene and their precursor, ethanol, were the main contributors to the OFP, accounting for up to 90% of the total at both temperatures.

As a consequence of increased use of ethanol fuel in Brazil, acetaldehyde, has become the fourth largest ozone precursor in some Brazilian areas

## Formaldehyde and Acetaldehyde Concentrations in MASP

lable



Figure 3. Annual trends in formaldehyde and acetaldehyde concentrations in the Metropolitan Area of São Paulo between 2012 and 2016. The dashed lines indicate the linear fit, and the bars indicate the bars indicate the standard deviation. Source: Pinheiros air quality monitoring station [24].

- burn ethanol has increased (flex-fuel vehicles)
- The concentration of aldehydes has not increased (the use of ethanol has decreased)

Environmental concentrations of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde in the MASP over the last 30 years.

Year	Formal dehyde (ppbv)	Acetaldehyde F/A ratio (ppbv)		References
1986ª	5.4	16,1	0,34	[52]
1989 <sup>a</sup>	10.8	22.3	0.48	[21]
1990 <sup>a</sup>	15,2	22.6	0.68	[21]
1993 <sup>a</sup>	6.4	9.3	0.69	[21]
1996 <sup>a</sup>	3.35	5.1	0.65	[21]
1997 <sup>a</sup>	5.6	10.4	0.54	[21]
1998 <sup>a</sup>	5.0	5.4	0.9	[53]
1999 <sup>b</sup>	1.0-46	11.9	1.2	[54]
2000 <sup>a</sup>	4.2		n.a.	[24]
2001 <sup>b</sup>	1.0-46.3	1.2-56.6	n.a.	[47]
2002ª	4.0		n.a.	[24]
2003ª	2,0-8,2	1.0-9.2	0.9-3.2	[23]
2004 <sup>a</sup>	18.1	15.4	1.18	[21]
2006ª	5.7	5.6	1.02	[55]
2007ª	13.5		n.a.	[24]
2011ª	5.0	4.0	0.8-1.7	[22]
2012/	$8.6 \pm 6.7^{\circ}$	$5.4 \pm 5.2^{\circ}$	2.1 ± 1.3 <sup>c</sup>	Present
2013	4,7 <sup>d</sup> -6,9 <sup>e</sup> -9,8 <sup>f</sup>	2,3 <sup>d</sup> -3,2 <sup>e</sup> -6,5 <sup>f</sup>	1,2 <sup>d</sup> -1,8 <sup>e</sup> - 2,8 <sup>f</sup>	study

<sup>a</sup> Mean values,

<sup>b</sup> Maximum and minimum values.

<sup>c</sup> Mean ± SD.

<sup>d</sup> 25th percentile.

<sup>e</sup> 50th percentile,

f 75th percentile.

Source: Formaldehyde and acetaldehyde measurements in urban atmosphere impacted by the use of ethanol biofuel: Metropolitan Area of Sao Paulo Fuel, Nogueira et al., 2015

#### DEATHS LINKED TO OUTDOOR AND HOUSEHOLD AIR POLLUTION





# $PM_{2.5}$ – Particles with diameter less than $2.5 \ \mu m$





# SECONDARY ORGANIC AEROSOL (SOA)

SECONDARY INORGANI



## Chemical links between Ozone and PM formation

Particulate Matter Science for Policy Makers: A

NARSTO Assessment

## SECONDARY ORGANIC AEROSOL (SOA) PRIMARY BIOLOGICAL AEROSOL PARTICLES (PBAR



• Organic material contributes 20-50% of the total fine aerosol mass at continental mid-latitudes [Saxena and Hildemann, 1996; Putaud et al., 2004] and as much as 90% in the tropical forested areas [Andreae and Crutzen, 1997; Talbot et al., 1988; 1990; Artaxo et al., 1988; 1990; Roberts et al., 2001]

## Black Carbon Black carbon climate danger "underestimated",

Black carbon, is very important in a global scale,



Studies showed that Black Carbon can be the second major responsible for the global warming, being behind only for  $CO_2$ .

Ramanathan & Carmichael Nature Geoscience 1, 221 (2008)

# Aerosols heat up

Peter Pilewskie

Solid particles suspended in the atmosphere have long played second fiddle to greenhouse gases as agents of climate change. A study of atmospheric heating over the Indian Ocean could provoke a rethink. Sao Paulo Advanced School - Climate Change, july 6, 2017

NATURE|Vol 448|2 August 2007



Ulrich Pöschl; Manabu Shiraiwa; Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 4440-4475.



The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155–5236, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/5155/2009/



Fig. 2. Distribution of mass (A) and SOA formation potential (in  $\mu$ g SOA $\mu$ g<sup>-1</sup>; B) in diesel and gasoline fuel (representative of exhaust) and nontailpipe gasoline emissions. Distributions in A and B are colored by chemical class. Fuel properties (density, carbon fraction) and bulk SOA yields (at an organic particle loading of 10  $\mu$ g·m<sup>-3</sup>) are superposed on A and B, respectively. Predicted SOA from gasoline exhaust is much lower than diesel and dominated solely by aromatic content, whereas diesel SOA is produced from a mix of aromatic and alignatic compounds. A distribution of the SOA potential uncertainties is provided in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S5.

#### Elucidating secondary organic aerosol from diesel and gasoline vehicles through detailed characterization of organic carbon emissions

Drew R. Gentner<sup>a</sup>, Gabriel Isaacman<sup>b</sup>, David R. Worton<sup>b.c</sup>, Arthur W. H. Chan<sup>b</sup>, Timothy R. Dallmann<sup>a</sup>, Laura Davis<sup>a</sup>, Shang Liu<sup>d</sup>, Douglas A. Day<sup>d.1</sup>, Lynn M. Russell<sup>d</sup>, Kevin R. Wilson<sup>e</sup>, Robin Weber<sup>b</sup>, Abhinav Guha<sup>b</sup>, Robert A. Harley<sup>a</sup>, and Allen H. Goldstein<sup>a.b.2</sup>

	Weight by carbon, wtC%			Potential SOA formation, wt%		
Compound class	Diesel exhaust	Gasoline exhaust	Non-tailpipe gasoline	Diesel exhaust	Gasoline exhaust	Non-tailpipe gasoline
Total aliphatic	68 ± 8	58 ± 2	85 ± 4	47 ± 4	0.38 ± 0.07	0.9 ± 0.4
Straight-chain alkanes	7 ± 1	$7.7 \pm 0.3$	20 ± 1	11 ± 2	0.09 ± 0.003	0.02 ± 0.001
Branched alkanes	23 ± 2	40 ± 1	60 ± 3	14 ± 2	0.12 ± 0.003	0.13 ± 0.01
Cycloalkanes (single straight alkyl chain)	$2.5 \pm 0.2$	4.3 ± 0.1	$1.03 \pm 0.04$	$1.2 \pm 0.3$	0.13 ± 0.07	0.7 ± 0.4
Cycloalkanes [branched/multiple alkyl chain(s)]	18 ± 2	$6.2 \pm 0.3$	$5.0\pm0.2$	11 ± 2	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.05\pm0.03$
Bicycloalkanes	13 ± 1	0	0	6 ± 1	0	0
Tricycloalkanes	$4.8 \pm 0.6$	0	0	4 ± 1	0	0
Single-ring aromatics	19 ± 2	29 ± 1	2.7 ± 0.1	36 ± 9	96 ± 22	99 ± 6
Polycyclic aromatic compounds	4 ± 2	0.32 ± 0.02	0.0003	17 ± 8	3.2 ± 0.9	0.01 ± 0.01
Alkenes (straight, branched, cyclic)	0	3.6 ± 0.1	$7.4 \pm 0.3$	0	0	0
Ethanol	0	$6.9\pm0.5$	$4.4\pm0.4$	0	0	0

Table 1. Distribution of mass and SOA potential by chemical class for diesel exhaust, gasoline exhaust, and nontailpipe gasoline

The wt% by total mass for each source can be found in the SI Appendix, Table S2.

19220 unum proc org/cgi/doi/10.1072/pro



Contnor at al

Elucidating secondary organic aerosol from diesel and gasoline vehicles through detailed characterization of organic carbon emissions Drew R. Gentref, Gubriel Isaacman<sup>b</sup>, David R. Worton<sup>kt</sup>, Arthur W. H. Chan<sup>b</sup>, Timothy R. Dallmann<sup>t</sup>, Laura Davis<sup>1</sup>, Shang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Douglas A. Day<sup>1,1</sup>, Jym M. Russel<sup>1</sup>, Kevin R. Wilson<sup>1</sup>, Robin Webe<sup>2</sup>, Abhinav Guba<sup>3</sup>, Robert A. Harley<sup>2</sup>,

and Allen H. Goldstein<sup>a,b,2</sup>

Fig. 3. The percent contribution of gasoline and diesel exhaust to SOA over 0% to 50% diesel fuel use demonstrates the predominance of diesel sources for SOA formation. SOA contributions form the two sources are equivalent at 6% diesel fuel use. The United States and California state averages shown are based on total on- and off-road use. The urban areas in California shown are for on-road fuel use only; off-road contributions will increase the diesel fraction of total use by several percent, but are not available at this scale.

### PRIMARY BIOLOGICAL AEROSOL PARTICLES (PBAP)



Jaenicke [2005] suggests may be as large a source as dust/sea salt (1000s Tg/yr)

May act as CCN and IN [Diehl et al., 2001; Bauer et al., 2003; Christiner et al., 2008

# SOUTH AMERICA

## Latin America and the Caribbean Population

- 648,476,231 (in 2017 according to United Nations)
- Latin America and the Caribbean population is equivalent to 8.62% of the total world population.
- The population density in Latin America and the Caribbean is 32 per Km<sup>2</sup> (83 people per mi<sup>2</sup>).
- The total land area is 20,158,154 Km<sup>2</sup> (7,783,104 sq. miles)
- 79.7 % of the population is urban (516,362,188 people in 2017)
- The median age in Latin America and the Caribbean is 29.6 years.

Worldometers (http://www.worldometers.info/world-population/latin-america-and-the-caribbean-population/)



. Megacity population (million), surface area (km<sup>2</sup>), and population density (number of people per km<sup>2</sup>) in 2000.

Evaluation of emissions and air quality in megacities B.R. Gurjar<sup>a,\*</sup>, T.M. Butler<sup>b</sup>, M.G. Lawrence<sup>b</sup>, J. Lelieveld<sup>b</sup>



## Metropolitan Area of São Paulo - MASP



## MASP= São Paulo city + 38 cities

- •21 million inhabitants
- •7,2 million vehicles
- 2000 significative industrial plants

•8000 km<sup>2</sup>



## Characterization of the air Pollution Problem



New Directions: from biofuels to wood stoves: the modern and ancient air quality challanges in the megacity of São Paulo. Atmos. Environ., 2016



Evolution of the average values of CO,  $PM_{10}$ ,  $O_3$ ,  $SO_2$  and  $NO_2$  concentrations measured at the CETESB air quality monitoring stations in the MASP



years and future perspectives. Atmos. Environ., 2017

# Sources not properly accounted

- Evaporative emissions during refuelling
- Solvents: painting and industries
- Biomass burning
  - Vegetation residues
  - Wood, charcoal







**Vehicular Emissions** 



Air pollution in Sao Paulo MegaCity



PROGRAMS TO CONTROL EMISSIONS REDUCED THE AVERAGE CONCENTRATION



BUT STILL HOT SPOTS OF CONCENTRATION AFFECT THE POPULATION

who is more impacted?





TWO ASPECTS: TIME SPEND TO COMMUTE AND INEQUALITY IN ACCESS TO TRANSPORT POPULATION EXPOSURE DUE TO HOT SPOTS OF POLLUTION, INEQUALITY EXPOSURE

- The air we breathe is different from place to place
- The air quality is very variable in the same city
- The concentration of pollutants varies according to site, hour and season
- The climate change will impact the air quality mainly in the megacities.

Mean annual concentrations of trace elements (in ng/m3) present in the  $PM_{2.5}$  (in  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). The measurements were performed during different experimental campaigns and at different locations in the MASP.



EC/PM<sub>2.5</sub>= 0.20

Air quality in the megacity of Sao Paulo: evolution over the last 30 years and future perspectives. Atmos. Environ., 2017

## Carbonaceous aerosols in MASP



Street Canyon Downtown Park University



Figure 4. Carbonaceous species concentrations for all campaigns.



**Fig. 4.** Average concentration and percentage of total OC attributable to the estimated secondary and primary organic carbon at Street Canyon, Downtown, Park and University sites.

Santos et al., Atmos. Environ, 2016

Pereira et al., ACP, 2017

References:

1) Ke Lia, Daniel J. Jacob, Hong Liaoa, Lu Shen, Qiang Zhang, and Kelvin H. Bates. Anthropogenic drivers of 2013–2017 trends in summer surface ozone in China. PNAS, January 8, 2019, vol. 116, no. 2

2) WMO/IGAC Impacts of Megacities on

Air Pollution and Climate. Website:

http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/arep/gaw/gaw\_home\_en.html

3) Miriam E. Marlier1,2 & Amir S. Jina3 & Patrick L. Kinney4 & Ruth S. DeFries. Extreme Air Pollution in Global Megacities. Curr Clim Change Rep (2016) 2:15–27

4) Baumgardner, M de Fatima Andrade, Z Klimont, J Kuylenstierna, SM Carvalho, N Borgford-Parnell, OL Mayol-Bracero, M Melamed, R Seguel, M Andrade, C Rudamas, G Ruiz-Suárez, O Sanchez-Ccoyllo, J Ometto, M Cazorla, L Höglund Isaksson, P Purohit, OM Cerutti, P Medina, N Hununeeus, JA Ortinez, L Dawidowski, D Henze, N Roja. <u>Short-lived</u> <u>climate pollutants: Drivers, regional emissions and measurements</u>, 2018.

# Obrigada! THANK YOU!

## Acknowledgements









