

# Aerosol Inorganic Composition at a Tropical Site: Discrepancies Between Filter-Based Sampling and a Semi-Continuous Method

Ivonne Trebs,<sup>1</sup> Meinrat O. Andreae,<sup>1</sup> Wolfgang Elbert,<sup>1</sup> Olga L. Mayol-Bracero,<sup>2</sup> Lydia L. Soto-García,<sup>2</sup> Yinon Rudich,<sup>3</sup> Alla H. Falkovich,<sup>3</sup> Willy Maenhaut,<sup>4</sup> Paulo Artaxo,<sup>5</sup> René Otjes,<sup>6</sup> and Jacob Slanina<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Biogeochemistry Department, Mainz, Germany

<sup>2</sup>University of Puerto Rico, Institute for Tropical Ecosystem Studies (ITES), Río Piedras,

Puerto Rico, USA

<sup>3</sup>Weizmann Institute, Department of Environmental Sciences, Rehovot, Israel

<sup>4</sup>Ghent University, Department of Anal. Chem., Institute for Nuclear Sciences, Ghent, Belgium

<sup>5</sup>Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>6</sup>Energy Research Center of the Netherlands, Department of Air Quality, Petten, Netherlands

<sup>7</sup>Peking University, College of Environmental Sciences, Beijing, China

The concentrations of the water-soluble inorganic aerosol species, ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>), and sulfate  $(SO_4^{2-})$ , were measured from September to November 2002 at a pasture site in the Amazon Basin (Rondônia, Brazil) (LBA-SMOCC). Measurements were conducted using a semi-continuous technique (Wet-annular denuder/Steam-Jet Aerosol Collector: WAD/SJAC) and three integrating filter-based methods, namely (1) a denuder-filter pack (DFP: Teflon and impregnated Whatman filters), (2) a stacked-filter unit (SFU: polycarbonate filters), and (3) a High Volume dichotomous sampler (HiVol: quartz fiber filters). Measurements covered the late dry season (biomass burning), a transition period, and the onset of the wet season (clean conditions). Analyses of the particles collected on filters were performed using ion chromatography (IC) and Particle-Induced X-ray Emission spectrometry (PIXE). Season-dependent discrepancies were observed between the WAD/SJAC system and the filter-based samplers. During the dry season, when PM<sub>2.5</sub> (D<sub>p</sub>  $\leq$  2.5  $\mu$ m) concentrations were  $\sim 100 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ , aerosol NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> measured by the filter-based samplers were on average two times higher than those determined by the WAD/SJAC. Concentrations of aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> measured with the HiVol during daytime, and with the DFP during day- and nighttime also exceeded those of the WAD/SJAC by a factor of two. In contrast, aerosol  $NO_3^-$  and Cl<sup>-</sup> measured with the SFU during the dry season were nearly two times lower than those measured by the WAD/SJAC. These differences declined markedly during the transition period and towards the cleaner conditions during the onset of the wet season  $(PM_{2.5} \sim 5 \,\mu g \,m^{-3})$ ; when filter-based samplers measured on average 40–90% less than the WAD/SJAC. The differences were not due to consistent systematic biases of the analytical techniques, but were apparently a result of prevailing environmental conditions and different sampling procedures. For the transition period and wet season, the significance of our results is reduced by a low number of data points. We argue that the observed differences are mainly attributable to (a) positive and negative filter sampling artifacts, (b) presence of organic compounds and organosulfates on filter substrates, and (c) a SJAC sampling efficiency of less than 100%.

#### INTRODUCTION

Atmospheric pollution in the Northern Hemisphere is driven by fossil fuel combustion and agricultural practices, often causing aerosol chemical composition to be dominated by ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ), nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ ), and sulfate ( $SO_4^{2-}$ ), mixed with sea-salt in coastal regions. The aerosol composition in the tropical Amazon Basin is dominated by organic matter, while inorganic aerosol species account for less than 20% of the total aerosol mass (Andreae and Crutzen 1997). Inorganic aerosol compounds like ( $NH_4$ )<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>,  $NH_4$ HSO<sub>4</sub>,  $NH_4NO_3$ , and  $NH_4Cl$ are formed from their gaseous precursors ammonia ( $NH_3$ ), sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ), nitric acid ( $HNO_3$ ), and hydrochloric acid (HCl). Whereas ( $NH_4$ )<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is stable and non-volatile, particulate  $NH_4NO_3$  and  $NH_4Cl$  form via reversible thermodynamic

Received 30 April 2007; accepted 14 February 2008.

The data presented in this study were acquired within the framework of the Large Scale Biosphere Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia (LBA). The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support by the European Commission (contract No. EVK2-CT-2001-00110 SMOCC) and by the Max Planck Society. The research at Ghent University was supported by the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office. The authors are indebted to Magda Claeys, András Hoffer, and András Gelencer for discussion of the results.

Address correspondence to Ivonne Trebs, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, P. O. Box 3060, 55020 Mainz, Germany. E-mail: ivonne@mpch-mainz.mpg.de

256

phase equilibria with NH<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, and HCl (Mozurkewich 1993; Stelson and Seinfeld 1982). NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl are therefore semi-volatile, and their stability strongly depends on ambient temperature (T) and relative humidity (RH) (see, e.g., Pathak and Chan 2005).

In the past, inorganic aerosol constituents have been sampled in the Amazon region using filter-based methods without pre- or post-stages to trap gaseous compounds (e.g., Allen and Miguel 1995; Graham et al. 2003; Mace et al. 2003; Talbot et al. 1988; Yamasoe et al. 2000). Long filter sampling intervals (hours to days) may favor condensation of gaseous compounds (e.g., HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>) on substrates (positive sampling artifact) or evaporation of semi-volatile species from substrates (negative sampling artifact), driven mainly by varying environmental conditions (T and RH) and a change of filter loading during the sampling period (e.g., Appel et al. 1984; Fehsenfeld et al. 1990; Lipfert 1994). Moreover, chemical reactions may occur on filter materials, leading to the formation and loss of HNO<sub>3</sub> (Eatough et al. 1995; Slanina et al. 2001). In the last decade, measurement methods that are less prone to artifacts have been developed. They include, for example, filter-pack methods and dry-coated diffusion denuders, but also several continuous sampling devices. Nowadays, the denuder technique allows semicontinuous measurements with high time resolution and high sampling efficiency (Simon and Dasgupta 1993; Slanina et al. 1992). Denuder devices may be combined with recently developed aqueous-phase aerosol collectors (Simon and Dasgupta 1995; Slanina et al. 2001; Weber et al. 2001). Currently, this is the only available approach for collecting gas and aerosol species simultaneously and selectively with high time resolution. The first semi-continuous measurements of aerosol  $NO_3^-$ ,  $Cl^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $SO_4^{2-}$  and their gaseous precursors HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, NH<sub>3</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub> in a tropical environment were made in southwestern Amazonia (Rondônia, Brazil) within the framework of the LBA-SMOCC 2002 campaign (Large Scale Biosphere Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia. Smoke Aerosols, Clouds, Rainfall and Climate: Aerosols from Biomass Burning Perturb Global and Regional Climate) (Andreae et al. 2004; Trebs et al. 2004). Measurements were conducted using a wet-annular denuder (WAD) in combination with a Steam-Jet Aerosol Collector (SJAC) (Slanina et al. 2001). Various conventional filter-based samplers were deployed simultaneously including a denuder-filter pack (DFP: Teflon and impregnated Whatman filters), a stacked-filter unit (SFU: polycarbonate filters) and a High Volume dichotomous sampler (HiVol: quartz-fiber filters). Gas-to-particle conversion processes and aerosol chemistry at this tropical site have been investigated in previous studies (e.g., Falkovich et al. 2004; Fuzzi et al. 2007; Hoffer et al. 2006; Mayol-Bracero et al. 2002; Trebs et al. 2005).

This article presents an intercomparison of the semicontinuous WAD/SJAC system with three integrating filterbased samplers (DFP, SFU, and HiVol) for the inorganic aerosol species  $NO_3^-$ ,  $Cl^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $SO_4^{2-}$ , performed for the first time under tropical conditions. The intercomparison is made for three different environmental situations: (a) heavily polluted conditions during the late dry (biomass burning) season (12–23 September 2002,  $PM_{2.5}$  [particulate matter with  $D_p \le 2.5 \ \mu m$ ] ~ 100  $\mu g m^{-3}$ ), (b) moderately polluted conditions (transition period, 07–31 October 2002,  $PM_{2.5} \sim$ 20  $\mu g m^{-3}$ ), and (c) a period with fairly clean conditions during the onset of the wet season (01–14 November 2002,  $PM_{2.5} \sim 5 \ \mu g m^{-3}$ ). Differences between semi-continuous techniques and filter-based methods had been found to be smaller than 30% at Northern Hemisphere extratropical sites (e.g., Drewnick et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2003; Schaap et al. 2004; Slanina et al. 2001; Weber et al. 2003). This study will show that these findings may not always hold under tropical conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Field Site**

Field measurements were performed at a pasture site in the state of Rondônia, Brazil, located in the southwestern part of the Amazon Basin (Fazenda Nossa Senhora Aparecida, FNS,  $10^{\circ}45'44''$  S,  $62^{\circ}21'27''$  W, 315 m asl). The vegetation at FNS is dominated by grass, and the site is used as a cattle ranch (~200 "Blanco" cattle). High temperatures (>35°C during day-time) and high RHs (close to 100% at nighttime), accompanied by large day-night variations are typical for FNS (Trebs et al. 2005). A detailed description of the measurement site is given in Andreae et al. (2002) and Kirkman et al. (2002).

#### Sampling

Preparation and handling of the filter substrates, as well as the semi-continuous measurements were carried out in an air-conditioned wooden house. The integrating samplers were mounted outside the house within a fenced-off area (for details see Trebs et al. 2006). The filter sampling periods were 12 hours daytime and 12 hours nighttime during the late dry (biomass burning) season, 24 hours during the transition period, and 48 hours during the onset of the wet season. In order to obtain representative samples for day and night during the 24 and 48 hours sampling periods, sampling was performed during 2 or 4 consecutive days or nights, respectively. Table 1 summarizes information about the samplers and analytical methods employed for determining the inorganic aerosol composition, including the institutions operating the samplers and the abbreviations used for them in this article.

#### Semi-Continuous Measurements (WAD/SJAC)

Air was sampled from 530 cm above ground through a vertical polyethylene conduit with an inner diameter of 7 cm. The air flow in the conduit was generated by a suction fan in the conduit bottom. A sub-sample of the air was aspirated from the center of the conduit's cross section area at a flow rate of  $\sim 171 \text{ min}^{-1}$  (STP: 298.15 K and 1000 hPa) through a steel elbow (Trebs et al. 2004). The sampled air was then drawn through

		-		
Abbreviation	Sampler	Sampling height	Institution*	Analyses
WAD/SJAC	Wet-annular denuder/Steam-Jet Aerosol Collector (PM <sub>2.5</sub> or TSP)	5.3 m	MPI-C	$NH_4^+$ (FIA), $NO_3^-$ , Cl <sup>-</sup> , and $SO_4^{2-}$ (IC), on-line (Trebs et al. 2004)
DFP	Denuder-filterpack (PM <sub>2.5</sub> or TSP)	5.3 m	UPR—ITES, MPI-C	$NH_4^+$ , $NO_3^-$ , $Cl^-$ , and $SO_4^{2-}$ (IC)
SFU	Stacked filter units (PM <sub>2.5</sub> and PM <sub>10</sub> )	4 m	USP, WIS, UGent	$NH_4^+$ , $NO_3^-$ , $Cl^-$ , and $SO_4^{2-}$ (IC), elemental S (PIXE)
HiVol	HiVol sampler (PM <sub>2.5</sub> only)	1.8 m	UGent, WIS	$NH_4^+$ , $NO_3^-$ , $Cl^-$ , and $SO_4^{2-}$ (IC)

TABLE 1Overview of aerosol samplers and analytical techniques employed for the measurement of the aerosol species  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$ ,  $Cl^-$ , and  $SO_4^{2-}$  at FNS during LBA-SMOCC 2002

(\*) MPI-C: Max Planck Institute for Chemistry (Mainz, Germany).

UPR-ITES: University of Puerto Rico-Institute for Tropical Ecosystem Studies (San Juan, Puerto Rico).

USP: University of São Paulo (Brazil).

WIS: Weizmann Institute of Science (Rehovot, Israel).

UGent: Ghent University (Belgium).

a PFA Teflon tubing connection to the sampling system in an air conditioned laboratory. During the field experiment, the steel elbow was replaced periodically with a pre-impactor ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) size cut; type 413, University Research Glassware), such that aerosol samples of either PM<sub>2.5</sub> or total suspended particulate matter (TSP) were collected in alternating cycles (1-3 days) (Trebs et al. 2004; Trebs et al. 2005). Aerosol particles were collected using a Steam-Jet Aerosol Collector (SJAC) (Khlystov et al. 1995). Gaseous compounds, such as ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>), nitrous acid (HNO<sub>2</sub>), hydrochloric acid (HCl), and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) were removed prior to aerosol collection by a horizontally aligned rotating wet-annular denuder (WAD) that was coated with a  $10^{-4}$  M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> absorption solution. Analyses were performed on-line, using ion chromatography (IC) for anions and flow injection analysis (FIA) for ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) (Slanina et al. 1992). The IC was calibrated continuously using an internal bromide standard (Slanina et al. 2001). The reliability of the internal standard was checked periodically by injecting standard solutions of Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. The FIA was calibrated once per week with NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> standard solutions. Measurement intervals were 20 min (biomass burning season), 40 min (transition period), and 60 min (wet season). Measurement uncertainties were estimated to remain below 15% (Trebs et al. 2004). A detailed description of the WAD/SJAC system and the analytical procedures is given elsewhere (Slanina et al. 1992; Slanina et al. 2001; Trebs et al. 2004).

#### **Denuder-Filterpack (DFP)**

The DFP employed in this study (see Table 1) was previously described by Keuken (1989) and Slanina et al. (2001), and is composed of a stainless steel filter holder and two dry-annular denuders made of borosilicate glass tubes. The two denuders and the filter pack were aligned horizontally in tandem at the outer wall of the wooden house. During sampling, the air entered (a) the first denuder coated with a 0.1 M sodium fluoride solution to scavenge acidic trace gases (HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, and SO<sub>2</sub>), (b) the second denuder coated with a 0.5 M phosphoric acid  $(H_3PO_4)$ solution to trap gaseous NH<sub>3</sub>, (c) a Teflon filter (47 mm, Zefluor, pore size 2  $\mu$ m) to collect aerosol particles, (d) a NaF impregnated Whatman 41 filter paper as backup for evaporated acidic gases, and (e) a H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> impregnated Whatman 41 filter as backup for gaseous NH<sub>3</sub>. Air was aspirated in parallel to the WAD/SJAC system from the center of the conduit's cross section area at a flow rate of  $\sim 5 \ 1 \ \text{min}^{-1}$ . Thus, the cut-off size for aerosol particles sampled with the DFP was the same as for the WAD/SJAC system. Denuder samples were extracted immediately after sample collection. The denuder extracts and the Whatman/Teflon filter samples were stored in polyethylene vials at 4°C until analysis.

Extraction and analyses of the DFP samples were performed at the Max Planck Institute for Chemistry in Mainz, Germany (MPI-C) (see Table 1). The NaF and  $H_3PO_4$  impregnated Whatman 41 filter papers were extracted in ultra-pure water (UPW). The Teflon filters were wetted with methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH) overnight and UPW was added the following day. The filter extracts were shaken every 5–10 minutes for one hour. Isocratic separation on ion exchange columns and subsequent suppressed conductivity detection was used for both anion and cation analyses. For the determination of  $NH_4^+$ , an IC separation column (Dionex CS14) preceded by a guard column (Dionex CG14) Downloaded By: [Max Planck Inst & Research Groups Consortium] At: 12:39 10 April 2008

was used. Samples were injected manually via a 20  $\mu$ l loop into a 10 mM methanesulfonic acid (MSA) eluent, containing 5% CH<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>OH. The anions Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> were determined using an IC separation column (Dionex, AS9-HC, 4 mm) preceded by a guard column (Dionex, AG9). Samples were injected manually via a 50- $\mu$ l loop into a 7 mM sodium carbonate (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) eluent. The detection limit (LOD) of the MPI-C ion chromatograph is 0.2  $\mu$ mol l<sup>-1</sup> for all ions.

#### Stacked-Filter Units (SFUs)

SFUs generally select two aerosol size fractions. The SFU sampler employed in this study (see Table 1) was a Gent  $PM_{10}$ SFU (Hopke et al. 1997). The sampler was mounted at a height of 4 m above ground and was equipped with Nuclepore<sup>®</sup> polycarbonate filters (47 mm). The pore sizes of filter stages 1 and 2 were 8 and 0.4  $\mu$ m, respectively. The filters of stage 1 were Apiezon-coated to minimize particle bouncing. The sampler was operated at a flow rate of  $\sim 171 \text{ min}^{-1}$ , resulting in a cut point between the coarse and fine aerosol fraction of  $D_p \sim 2.0 \ \mu m$ . The sum of the two stages provides  $PM_{10}$  ( $D_p \le 10 \ \mu m$ ). Samples were stored at 4°C until analysis. Extraction and analyses of the SFU samples were performed at the Weizmann Institute of Science (WIS) in Rehovot, Israel. Filter samples were extracted in 4 ml of UPW with 15-min vortex agitation. IC analyses were performed using a Varian ProStar HPLC system equipped with a Dionex ED50 electrochemical detector. A Dionex AS11 analytical column preceded by a guard column (Dionex AG11) was employed for anion analysis (gradient elution with NaOH). Cations were analyzed using a Dionex CS12A column and guard column (Dionex CG12A) using an MSA eluent (18 mM). The LOD of the WIS ion chromatograph is  $1 \mu \text{mol } 1^{-1}$  for cations and  $3 \,\mu$ mol l<sup>-1</sup> for anions. A detailed description of the analyses is given in Falkovich et al. (2004). Additionally, SFU filter samples were subjected to Particle-Induced X-ray Emission spectrometry (PIXE) (Artaxo and Orsini 1987) at the University of São Paulo, Brazil (USP) and at the Ghent University (UGent) to determine elemental sulfur (S) ("elemental" as used in this article, refers to the result of an elemental analysis, i.e., the unspeciated concentration of an element in the sample).

#### High Volume Dichotomous Filter Sampler (HiVol)

A HiVol sampler that separates fine aerosol particles ( $D_p < 2.5 \mu m$ ) from the coarse aerosol fraction ( $D_p > 2.5 \mu m$ ) was also employed (see Table 1) (Solomon et al. 1983). The sampler was mounted at a height of 1.8 m above ground. The flow rates of the sampler were 300 l min<sup>-1</sup> for the fine fraction and 30 l min<sup>-1</sup> for the coarse fraction. For both size fractions, the particles were collected with a front/back tandem system of quartz-fiber filters (102 mm diameter, Pallflex<sup>®</sup>, Pall Corporation; prebaked for 24 hours at 550°C) (for details see Falkovich et al. [2004]). HiVol filter samples were stored at  $-25^{\circ}$ C. During shipment they were kept frozen (using dry ice or cooling elements). The temperature was then below  $-5^{\circ}$ C until analysis. Extraction was performed

TABLE 2 Filter blanks of the aerosol species NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> expressed as average percentage [%] of typically observed concentrations at FNS during LBA-SMOCC 2002

Blanks	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> [%]	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> [%]	Cl <sup>-</sup> [%]	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2–</sup> [%]
DFP-Teflon	28	33	8	21
SFU-IC	5	15	35	15
SFU-PIXE			_	50
HiVol	10	10	—	10

twice in UPW with 15-min vortex agitation. The collected supernatant was centrifuged for 5 min. IC analyses of the HiVol samples were carried out at WIS; the analytical procedures were the same as for the SFU samples.

A number of field blanks were taken by drawing air through the integrating samplers for 10 seconds. These blanks were analyzed in the same way as the air samples and the obtained values were then subtracted from the measured concentrations. Blank values for integrating filter samplers are presented in Table 2.

Although, the accuracy for all analytical procedures applied to DFP, SFU, and HiVol samples was within 10%, the average filter blanks in Table 2 are quite high, which may indicate a variable positive bias of the results.

#### RESULTS

Results will be presented for (a) dry season (biomass burning), (b) transition period, and (c) onset of the wet season. For the intercomparison, the WAD/SJAC data were averaged over the respective filter collection periods. All concentrations are given at standard conditions of 298.15 K and 1000 hPa. Depending on the inlet used for the WAD/SJAC and the DFP (see above), either the measured SFU fine aerosol (PM2.0) or coarse aerosol (PM<sub>10</sub>) concentration is considered. For the HiVol sampler only the fine aerosol fraction (PM2.5) was analyzed and will be used for the intercomparison. It is well known that inorganic compounds like (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl mostly reside in the fine aerosol fraction, with the exception of  $NO_3^-$  that may be associated to some extent with coarse mode mineral cations. Thus, it is often justifiable to assume that the concentrations of the compounds measured in PM2.0 and/or PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> samples are comparable, i.e., the contribution of particles with  $D_p > 2.5 \ \mu m$  to the concentration of watersoluble inorganic ions is marginal. This, however, may not hold during aerosol droplet growth periods and under the presence of organic aerosol species during high RH conditions (above 90%).

#### Concentrations

During the LBA-SMOCC experiment, the contribution of inorganic species to  $PM_{2.5}$  remained below 20% during all seasons.  $PM_{2.5}$  was  $\sim 100 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$  during the dry season, it decreased to  $20 \ \mu \text{g m}^{-3}$  during the transition period, and to about  $5 \ \mu \text{g m}^{-3}$  during the wet season (Trebs et al. 2005).

Figure 1 shows box-and-whisker plots of the concentrations observed for daytime (D) and nighttime (N) during the dry (biomass burning) season. Note that for the DFP only concentrations determined on the Teflon filters are presented. Results from the impregnated Whatman backup filters are not included because they are biased by very high blank values as well as by high (non-volatile)  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentrations (see below).

Measured aerosol NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> concentrations ranged from ~ 250 to ~ 3500 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (Figure 1a). The overall range of daytime aerosol NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> concentrations measured with WAD/SJAC, DFP and SFU agreed moderately well, while daytime aerosol NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> determined with the HiVol sampler was at least two times higher. Median nighttime aerosol NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> values measured with integrating filter samplers were at least a factor of two higher than those observed with the WAD/SJAC system.

In the case of aerosol  $NO_3^-$ , observed concentrations during the dry season ranged from ~100 to ~6500 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (Figure 1b). Aerosol  $NO_3^-$  results for the DFP exceed those from the WAD/SJAC by at least a factor of three during day- and nighttime. Aerosol  $NO_3^-$  collected with the HiVol during daytime was also about two times higher than that measured with the WAD/SJAC. By contrast, aerosol  $NO_3^-$  determined with the SFU during day- and nighttime, and with the HiVol during nighttime was nearly two times lower than measured with the WAD/SJAC.

Aerosol Cl<sup>-</sup> concentrations were, on average, one order of magnitude lower than concentrations of the other ions and behaved similarly to aerosol  $NO_3^-$  (Figure 1c). While reasonable agreement between WAD/SJAC and DFP was found, aerosol Cl<sup>-</sup> concentrations observed with the SFU during day- and night-time and with the HiVol during nighttime were about a factor of two lower than those measured with the WAD/SJAC. In contrast, aerosol Cl<sup>-</sup> collected with the HiVol during daytime was almost two times higher than the values derived with the WAD/SJAC.

The aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentrations observed at FNS during the dry season ranged from  $\sim$ 500 to  $\sim$ 6000 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (Figure 1d). The lowest concentrations and variabilities were found for the WAD/SJAC and DFP samples. Except for DFP daytime samples, median concentrations found with integrating filter-based samplers were at least two times higher than those measured with the WAD/SJAC. While aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  measured with the WAD/SJAC sampler was comparable for day and night, results from integrating filter samplers show differences between dayand nighttime. Elemental S from SFU filters that were subjected to PIXE is presented in Figure 1d, after converting the measured S concentration to  $SO_4^{2-}$ . These median aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  values are approximately 20% higher than aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  collected on the SFU filters analyzed with IC, and agree relatively well with aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  determined with the HiVol. Daytime levels of SFU–PIXE and SFU–IC  $SO_4^{2-}$  are in better agreement compared to the daytime aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  measured with the HiVol. For nighttime samples the agreement is better between SFU-PIXE and HiVol.



FIG. 1. Box-and-whisker plots showing statistical distributions of concentrations during daytime (D) and nighttime (N) for aerosol ions (a)  $NH_4^+$ , (b)  $NO_3^-$ , (c) Cl<sup>-</sup>, and (d)  $SO_4^{2-}$  measured with the WAD/SJAC, DFP (Teflon filters), SFU (polycarbonate filters), and HiVol sampler (quartz-fiber filters) during the dry (biomass burning) season (12–23 Sep.) at FNS during LBA-SMOCC 2002 (HiVol samples were analyzed for PM<sub>2.5</sub> only, elemental S is presented as  $SO_4^{2-}$ equivalent assuming that the measured S concentration is entirely attributable to aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$ ).

Separate day- and nighttime results from the transition period and the onset of the wet season are not presented, because sampling periods extended over 24 or 48 hours to collect sufficient material on the filter substrates for chemical analyses. Due to occasional WAD/SJAC instrument problems and air concentrations below the LOD of the analytical methods, the data availability during these two periods is low. Measured concentrations are summarized in Table 3.

During the transition period, pollution from biomass burning decreased and aerosol concentrations of all ionic species dropped by almost one order of magnitude. The agreement between aerosol NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> median concentrations measured by WAD/SJAC, DFP and SFU increased considerably, while concentrations measured with the HiVol were still at least two times higher than concentrations measured with the WAD/SJAC (Table 3). PIXE results for aerosol SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> still exceed IC results from the SFU by ~15%. Fair agreement was found among all samplers for aerosol Cl<sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations, which were already very low during the transition period (medians <50 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and < 250 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively; Table 3).

During the onset of the wet season, when conditions were fairly clean, the median concentrations of all inorganic ions decreased to below 420 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. Concentrations measured with the WAD/SJAC exceeded those of filter-based samplers significantly (Table 3). The DFP results are lower than those of the WAD/SJAC system indicating perhaps a loss of particles in the denuder sample train during very high RHs. PIXE results for aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  agree very well with IC results.

As depicted in Figure 1 and Table 3, remarkable differences exist also among the integrating samplers. Concentrations measured with the SFU sampler equipped with polycarbonate filters are generally lower than those measured with the HiVol sampler that was operated with quartz-fiber filters. Results from the DFP and the HiVol sampler show differences of a factor two or three. It should be kept in mind that results shown for the DFP only represent concentrations found on the Teflon filters (cf. Figure 1 and Table 3). Evaporative losses determined on the backup filters were up to 50% of the values found on the Teflon filters and would thus further increase the total concentration found with the DFP.

The differences between the WAD/SJAC and the filterbased samplers show a clear seasonal trend that is reflected in Figure 2.

For aerosol NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> the average difference declines from 80–140% during the dry season to 15–55% during the transition period, and turns negative to about -40% during the onset of the wet season. In the case of aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>, the differences exhibit a large variability during the dry season, being mainly positive (15–75%) during daytime and negative (50%) during nighttime. The relative differences change sign and all become negative during the transition period (-50--75%) and the wet season (-90%). Generally, results from integrating filter-based samplers exceed those of the WAD/SJAC during the dry season, but filter samplers yielded comparable or even lower concentrations than the WAD/SJAC towards cleaner conditions during the onset of the wet season (Figure 2).

#### Correlations

The squared correlation coefficient  $r^2$  describes the proportion of variance in common between two variables, i.e., the larger the  $r^2$  is; the more X and Y are dependent on each other. Since measurement errors occur in both X and Y directions, the conventional (Y on X) ordinary least squares regression is not suitable for this study. Ayers (2001) recommended using the reduced major axis analysis (RMA) regression (also called geometric mean regression [Halfon 1995]) for the comparison of air quality data. This method minimizes the product of the X and Y deviations between the data values and the fitted values. Linear RMA correlations of the results obtained with the WAD/SJAC and the filter-based samplers (DFP, SFU, and HiVol) are presented in Table 4(a,b).

For dry season samples, correlations were generally better during nighttime than during daytime. Reasonable correlations were found between WAD/SJAC and DFP for aerosol  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$ , and  $Cl^-$  ( $r^2 = 0.62$ , 0.88, and 0.85), which are characterized by slopes of 1.5, 1.2, and 0.9, but had relatively large intercepts (Table 4a). Although acceptable correlations  $(r^2 > 0.5)$  were observed for the daytime dry season samples between WAD/SJAC and HiVol for aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and  $SO_4^{2-}$ , the slopes were larger than two. Rather poor correlations  $(r^2 < 0.5)$  were identified for nighttime dry season samples between WAD/SJAC, SFU, and HiVol for aerosol  $NH_4^+$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$ , with slopes higher than two and large intercepts. Between the filter-based samplers, SFU and HiVol, good correlations were identified for all nighttime samples, except for aerosol Cl<sup>-</sup>, which correlated better during daytime. However, except for aerosol NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, the RMA regression between SFU and HiVol resulted in slopes significantly smaller or higher than unity and/or large intercepts. Not surprisingly, the correlations for aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  from the SFU sampler determined with IC and PIXE were very good during day- and nighttime with  $r^2 > 0.9$ . Slopes higher than unity indicate that some S was associated with compounds other than  $SO_4^{2-}$ . All other correlations for dry season samples were very poor with  $r^2 < 0.2$  and large standard errors of slopes and/or intercepts.

For the transition period, reasonable  $r^2$  values were calculated for aerosol NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> between WAD/SJAC and HiVol, for aerosol NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub> between WAD/SJAC and DFP, and for aerosol SO<sup>2</sup><sub>4</sub> between all samplers (Table 4b). Except for aerosol NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub> and Cl<sup>-</sup>, the slopes are in most cases larger than unity. Considering the absolute concentration measured during the transition period (Table 3), very large intercepts (positive and negative) were obtained. Correlations improved during the transition period in comparison to the dry season.

A good correlation was found during the onset of the wet season for aerosol NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> between WAD/SJAC and SFU ( $r^2 = 0.89$ ), but the slope was significantly smaller than unity (Table 4b).

						-	TABLE 3	~								
Summary of resul SFU and I	ts (median, 25 HiVol sampler	ith perce during t	utile and the transi	75th per tion peric	centile of od (07–3.	all samp l Oct) and	les) for a d the onse	erosol i et of the	ons NH <sub>4</sub> e wet sea	<sup>+</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> , <sup>+</sup> ason (01-	Cl <sup>-</sup> , and -14 Nov)	$SO_4^{2-}$ me at FNS of	asured w luring LE	ith the W	/AD/SJA CC 2002	C, DFP,
		N	H <sup>+</sup> (ng m	1 <sup>-3</sup> )	NC	) <sub>3</sub> (ng m <sup>-</sup>	-3)	CI	– (ng m	-3)	SO2	2- (ng m	-3)	S <sup>(3)</sup> (P	IXE) (ng	m <sup>-3</sup> )
Season	Sampler	ш	P 0.25	P 0.75	ш	P 0.25	P 0.75	ш	P 0.25	P 0.75	ш	P 0.25	P 0.75	ш	P 0.25	P 0.75
Transition period	WAD/SJAC	409.3	242.1	553.0	217.4	174.7	340.5	33.9	27.7	46.3	457.3	366.4	596.4			
	$\mathrm{DFP}^{(1)}$	247.3	144.5	411.6	166.0	130.0	327.3	13.7	7.9	20.9	647.2	603.7	866.4			
	SFU	470.6	136.2	796.2	187.6	105.2	314.1	20.5	13.7	25.8	889.5	371.6	1572.4	1036.6	329.9	1835.4
	HiVol <sup>(2)</sup>	854.3	817.0	888.0	182.1	71.0	525.6	15.4	12.9	17.5	1383.4	1376.1	1444.8			
Wet season	WAD/SJAC	340.3	259.8	367.9	135.4	120.3	165.0	48.6	27.8	57.8	411.0	282.2	551.8			
	DFP	30.5	18.5	59.9	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>25.7</td><td>13.9</td><td>124.2</td><td>136.3</td><td>118.9</td><td>138.0</td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>25.7</td><td>13.9</td><td>124.2</td><td>136.3</td><td>118.9</td><td>138.0</td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>25.7</td><td>13.9</td><td>124.2</td><td>136.3</td><td>118.9</td><td>138.0</td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></lod<>	25.7	13.9	124.2	136.3	118.9	138.0			
	SFU	189.7	166.1	219.3	60.4	9.4	67.2	7.4	4.2	9.8	349.6	311.3	372.5	349.9	333.9	395.4
	HiVol															
(1) only concentrati	ons determined	on the T	efton filter only (coar	rs are pres	ented.	si selame	bulant includ	(bed)								

<sup>(2)</sup>Hivol samples were analyzed for  $PM_{2,5}$  only (coarse fraction of 1SP samples is not included). <sup>(3)</sup>elemental S is presented as the SO<sup>2+</sup> equivalent by assuming that the measured S concentration is entirely attributable to aerosol SO<sup>2+</sup>.

Downloaded By: [Max Planck Inst & Research Groups Consortium] At: 12:39 10 April 2008



FIG. 2. Average relative differences (assuming the WAD/SJAC is the reference) between the mean of concentrations determined from integrating samplers (DFP, SFU, and HiVol) and concentrations measured with the WAD/SJAC for the dry season (day- and nighttime), transition period and wet season at FNS during LBA-SMOCC 2002. Error bars indicate standard deviations of differences.

Reasonable correlation was again found for aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  from the SFU sampler determined with IC and PIXE ( $r^2 = 0.64$ ). All other correlations for wet season samples were poor with  $r^2 << 0.2$ .

In most cases, poor correlation coefficients and/or slopes significantly higher/lower than unity or large intercepts were obtained between WAD/SJAC and filter-based methods. The calculated slopes and intercepts do not reveal a systematic or seasonal dependence. The limited data set during cleaner periods could bias our statistical results.

#### DISCUSSION

## Influence of Meteorology and Chemistry

To our knowledge, all intercomparison studies of this nature have been performed in the extratropical Northern Hemisphere. While other studies found significant differences for individual data points and/or special sampling conditions, we could not identify any reference that consistently shows large discrepancies between semi-continuous methods and filter-based samplers for inorganic aerosol species. The differences found in our study are largest during the dry season (biomass burning) and decline towards cleaner conditions during the onset of the wet season (Figure 2), suggesting that the observed differences are related to biomass burning activity or environmental parameters such as T and RH. The following evaluations will focus on the dry (biomass burning) season, when the best data availability was achieved, highest concentrations were observed, and largest discrepancies between samplers were found. Such an evaluation would be difficult for the transition period and wet season since the meteorology varied significantly during the filter sampling intervals of 24 or 48 hours. During the dry season, average nighttime RHs at our tropical site were higher than 90% and daytime RHs ranged from 50 to 60%. Average temperatures ranged from 20 to 25°C during nighttime and mostly exceeded 30°C during daytime (Trebs et al. 2005).

The aerosol chemical composition and behavior in the Amazon Basin differs remarkably from the extratropical Northern Hemisphere. At the FNS site, thermodynamic equilibrium permitted the formation of aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl only during nighttime (RH > 90%), and mineral cations (especially K<sup>+</sup>) significantly balanced fine mode aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> during daytime (Trebs et al. 2005). Due to molar ratios of NH<sub>3</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> higher than 10 at the FNS site, aerosol (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was a minor component of the inorganic aerosol fraction, and gaseous NH<sub>3</sub> was largely neutralized by low-molecular weight (LMW) polar organic acids (Trebs et al. 2005). A similar aerosol composition was observed, for example, by Yu et al. (2006).

# Aerosol $NH_4^+$ and $SO_4^{2-}$

Figure 3 shows the relative difference (%) between SFU and WAD/SJAC for aerosol  $NH_4^+$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$ , measured during the dry (biomass burning) season, along with RH.

The differences between SFU and WAD/SJAC follow a comparable variation for aerosol NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and were always higher during nighttime (RH > 90%) than during daytime (RH < 70%). During the period presented in Figure 3, gasphase concentrations measured with the WAD/SJAC were on average 2000 ng m<sup>-3</sup> for NH<sub>3</sub> and 800 ng m<sup>-3</sup> for SO<sub>2</sub> and were typically lower during nighttime than during daytime. This indicates that the differences of aerosol NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> between SFU and WAD/SJAC are not directly related to the abundance of their gaseous precursors. Generally, large discrepancies between the semi-continuous method and integrating samplers are



FIG. 3. Relative difference (%) (assuming the WAD/SJAC is the reference) between the concentrations of aerosol  $NH_4^+$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  measured with SFU (polycarbonate filters) and WAD/SJAC for four days (D) and nights (N) during the dry (biomass burning) season (left Y-axis) along with the measured relative humidity (RH) (averages for respective sampling interval, right Y-axis) at FNS during LBA-SMOCC 2002.

# TABLE 4

Correlation coefficients (r<sup>2</sup>) and reduced major axis analysis (RMA) regression results (Y = (A  $\pm \sigma_A$ ) + (B  $\pm \sigma_B$ )\*X, where  $\sigma_A$ 

and  $\sigma_B$  are the standard errors of intercept A and slope B, respectively) for WAD/SJAC, DFP, SFU, and HiVol samplers. Correlations for the DFP sampler refer only to concentrations determined on the Teflon filters. Results are shown for (a) the late dry (biomass burning) season (day-and nighttime listed separately); (b) the transition period and the onset of the wet season (clean conditions) at FNS during LBA-SMOCC 2002 (fields were left empty if values were smaller than the limit of detection or N < 3)

(a)		_	Dry season	n-DAY		_	Dry season-	NIGHT	
Combination X – Y	Compound	r <sup>2</sup>	$A \pm \sigma_A$ [ng m <sup>-3</sup> ]	$B \pm \sigma_B$ [1]	N	r <sup>2</sup>	$A \pm \sigma_A$ [ng m <sup>-3</sup> ]	$B \pm \sigma_B$ [1]	N
WAD/SJAC-DFP	Aerosol NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	_		_	_	0.62	$986 \pm 659$	$1.5 \pm 0.5$	3
WAD/SJAC-SFU	·	0.25	$-549\pm743$	$1.9 \pm 0.7$	5	0.01	$-490\pm972$	$2.6\pm1.0$	6
WAD/SJAC-HiVol		0.30	$403\pm800$	$2.1 \pm 0.8$	5	0.01	$-326\pm879$	$2.3\pm0.9$	6
SFU-HiVol		0.10	$375\pm911$	$1.7 \pm 0.7$	5	0.99	$118.9\pm79$	$0.9\pm0.04$	6
WAD/SJAC-DFP	Aerosol NO <sub>3</sub>			_	_	0.88	$2044 \pm 433$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	3
WAD/SJAC-SFU	2	0.33	$-126 \pm 257$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	5	0.01	$-897\pm564$	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	7
WAD/SJAC-HiVol		0.01	$-501 \pm 1489$	$3.0 \pm 1.4$	5	0.01	$-839\pm312$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	6
SFU-HiVol		0.35	$96 \pm 1035$	$4.8\pm1.7$	5	0.88	$152 \pm 50$	$0.5\pm0.07$	6
WAD/SJAC-DFP	Aerosol Cl-			_	_	0.85	$33 \pm 34$	$0.9\pm0.2$	3
WAD/SJAC-SFU		0.40	$-3 \pm 9$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	4	0.69	$-0.2 \pm 11$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	5
WAD/SJAC-HiVol		0.77	$-147 \pm 88$	$3.6 \pm 0.8$	5	0.34	$7\pm5$	$0.1\pm0.04$	6
SFU-HiVol		0.58	$-65 \pm 117$	$18 \pm 5.9$	4	0.01	$9\pm5$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	4
WAD/SJAC-DFP	Aerosol SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>				_	0.03	$433 \pm 1554$	$2.1 \pm 1.2$	3
WAD/SJAC-SFU		0.40	$-989\pm870$	$2.9\pm0.7$	5	0.23	$-110\pm1146$	$2.6\pm0.9$	6
WAD/SJAC-HiVol		0.50	$171\pm910$	$2.2 \pm 0.7$	5	0.34	$2163 \pm 1752$	$4.2 \pm 1.4$	6
SFU-HiVol		0.01	$1125\pm887$	$0.83\pm0.36$	5	0.91	$-1981\pm608$	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	6
SFU(IC)-SFU(PIXE)		0.95	$-46\pm292$	$1.2\pm0.12$	5	0.98	$-1992\pm309$	$1.9\pm0.1$	6

1	1 \
1	h١
۰.	$\boldsymbol{\upsilon}$
· ·	- /

			Transition	n period			Wet se	ason	
Combination X – Y	Compound	r <sup>2</sup>	$A \pm \sigma_A$ [ng m <sup>-3</sup> ]	$egin{array}{c} { m B}\pm\sigma_B\ [1] \end{array}$	N	r <sup>2</sup>	$A \pm \sigma_A$ [ng m <sup>-3</sup> ]	$B \pm \sigma_B$ [1]	N
WAD/SJAC-DFP	Aerosol NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	0.18	$-145 \pm 150$	$1.1\pm~0.4$	7	0.01	$-373\pm258$	$1.3 \pm 0.7$	3
WAD/SJAC-SFU		0.19	$-449 \pm 353$	$2.1 \pm 0.8$	6	0.89	$169 \pm 10$	$0.18 \pm 0.1$	3
WAD/SJAC-HiVol		0.68	$10 \pm 196$	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	5		_	_	
SFU-HiVol		0.13	$426\pm196$	$0.8\pm0.4$			_	_	
WAD/SJAC-DFP	Aerosol NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	0.96	$-356\pm62$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	5		_	_	
WAD/SJAC-SFU	5	0.01	$-91 \pm 123$	$0.9\pm0.4$	6	0.02	$-27\pm81$	$1.0 \pm 0.6$	3
WAD/SJAC-HiVol		0.50	$-209\pm170$	$1.5\pm0.5$	5		_	_	
SFU-HiVol		0.55	$11 \pm 99$	$1.4 \pm 0.5$	4		_	_	
WAD/SJAC-DFP	Aerosol Cl-	0.72	$-16 \pm 9$	$0.8\pm0.2$	4		—	—	—
WAD/SJAC-SFU		0.60	$-11 \pm 11$	$0.7\pm0.3$	4	0.04	$4.6\pm2.5$	$0.03\pm0.02$	3
WAD/SJAC-HiVol		0.29	$1.8 \pm 2.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	4		—	—	—
SFU-HiVol			—	—	—		—	—	—
WAD/SJAC-DFP	Aerosol $SO_4^{2-}$	0.47	$-127\pm235$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	8	0.17	$104 \pm 14$	$0.06\pm0.03$	
WAD/SJAC-SFU		0.65	$-679\pm445$	$2.6\pm0.6$	6	0.11	$165\pm98$	$0.5\pm0.2$	4
WAD/SJAC - HiVol		0.98	$-119\pm110$	$2.4\pm0.9$	5		_	_	
SFU-HiVol		0.63	$653\pm322$	$0.9\pm0.9$	4				_
SFU(IC)-SFU(PIXE)		0.98	$871\pm59$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	7	0.64	$54\pm71$	$0.9\pm0.2$	7

. .

. .

---



FIG. 4. Absolute aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  difference between SFU (IC) and WAD/SJAC versus aerosol  $NH_4^+$  measured with the SFU sampler at FNS during LBA-SMOCC 2002. SO<sub>2</sub> measured with the WAD is plotted as aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  equivalent possibly formed on the filter. Linear regression was done with data from all seasons (N = 20). Error bars indicate measurement uncertainty.

unexpected, since  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  is a stable compound. Potential chemical interference due to Apiezon on the SFU filters can be excluded because sulfur was also measured by PIXE from samples collected with an SDI impactor (cf. Fuzzi et al. 2007). Sulfur values from Apiezon-coated SFU filters and impactor filters agreed within 10%. However, the presence of alkaline species may provoke adsorption of SO<sub>2</sub> on filter substrates and subsequent oxidation with ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and/or hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) (Appel et al. 1984; Coutant 1977; Eatough et al. 1995). High RHs could promote trapping of small droplets on the filter substrates leading to retention of gaseous species. Since NH<sub>3</sub> was present in excess to neutralize acidic species (Trebs et al. 2005), one possible explanation for the discrepancies could be the adsorption of NH<sub>3</sub> and subsequent retention and oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> on filter materials during nighttime, when RH exceeded 90%. In order to investigate this further, a linear correlation of the absolute aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  difference between SFU and WAD/SJAC versus aerosol  $NH_4^+$  measured with the SFU sampler is shown in Figure 4.

A clear relationship between these two quantities is visible, i.e., the higher the amount of aerosol  $NH_4^+$  collected on the SFU, the larger is the difference of  $SO_4^{2-}$  between SFU and WAD/SJAC. In some cases, the amount of SO<sub>2</sub> appeared to be sufficient to produce the observed  $SO_4^{2-}$  discrepancy (Figure 4). Nevertheless, in order to fully explain the differences, Figure 4 suggests that more SO<sub>2</sub> than measured by the WAD would have to have been adsorbed by the filter .

The relative differences between the concentrations of aerosol  $NH_4^+$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  measured with the HiVol, DFP, and WAD/SJAC during the same days as shown in Figure 3 were mostly positive (not shown), i.e., concentrations measured with

the HiVol and DFP exceeded those of the WAD/SJAC (cf. Figure 1). No distinct dependence on daytime or nighttime as in the case of the SFU was visible. However, the difference between DFP and WAD/SJAC for aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  was smaller than 20% for three daytime samples during the dry season, suggesting that the retention of SO<sub>2</sub> by the dry-coated diffusion denuders may increase the comparability between WAD/SJAC and filter-based methods.

In comparison, during the Atlanta Supersite Experiment in 1999, integrating filter-based samplers were compared amongst themselves and agreed on average within  $\pm 10\%$  for aerosol NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and  $SO_4^{2-}$  (Solomon et al. 2003). A comparison between semicontinuous and filter aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  measurements in Atlanta revealed differences of up to 80% for individual days (Weber et al. 2003). However, on average the relative difference between integrating filter-based samplers and semi-continuous instruments in Atlanta was ≤25%. During a study in New York City, filters measured up to 28% more aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  than on-line instruments like the Particle-Into-Liquid Sampler (PILS) and Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) (Hogrefe et al. 2004). Good agreement of the PILS instrument with a filter-based sampler was reported from aircraft measurements in the western Pacific (TRACE-P and ACE Asia) (Ma et al. 2004). From a study in tropical Hong Kong, negligible positive  $SO_4^{2-}$  artifacts of 2% and 7% for, e.g., Teflon filters were reported (Pathak and Chan 2005). At a subtropical urban site in Taiwan, small positive aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  artifacts ranging from 8 to 15% and some negative  $NH^+_{\!\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}$  artifacts ranging from -17 to -21% were found on quartz-fiber filters (Tsai and Perng 1998). Very good comparability was achieved between WAD/SJAC and DFP for aerosol  $NH_4^+$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  in the Netherlands (Slanina et al. 2001).

An important difference of our study to those mentioned above is the presence of biomass burning aerosols containing large quantities of organic compounds (e.g., humic-like substances) (Hoffer et al. 2006). If water-soluble organic compounds are retained by filter substrates, they interact with inorganic compounds sampled on the filter, particularly during very humid conditions at nighttime (RH > 90%) (e.g., NH<sub>3</sub> + R-COOH  $\rightarrow$  R-COO<sup>-</sup> + NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (Trebs et al. 2005)). Moreover, our somewhat higher PIXE results (Figure 1, Table 3) suggest that some S was also attributable to other compounds, possibly organosulfates (Blando et al. 1998; Liggio and Li 2006; Romero and Oehme 2005). These organosulfates (also called sulfate esters) can form from reactions of acidic aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$ with carbonyls in the atmosphere (Iinuma et al. 2007; Surratt et al. 2007). It has been suggested that conventional inorganic  $SO_4^{2-}$  chemical analysis may underestimate the total  $SO_4^{2-}$  mass in ambient aerosols (Liggio and Li 2006). In our case, this argument is not supported, since the analytical IC procedures were similar for filter-based methods and WAD/SJAC. However, the main difference is that in the WAD/SJAC ionic species were extracted within a few seconds after sample collection, while filters were transported and stored for several weeks before extraction and analysis. If organosulfates had (at least partially) caused

264



FIG. 5. Relative difference (%) (assuming the WAD/SJAC is the reference) between the concentrations of aerosol  $NO_3^-$  and  $Cl^-$  measured with HiVol (quartz-fiber filters) and WAD/SJAC for four days (D) and nights (N) during the dry season (left Y-axis) along with gas phase concentrations (HNO<sub>3</sub> and HCl) (averages of respective sampling interval; measured with WAD; (right Y-axis)) at FNS during LBA-SMOCC 2002.

the observed differences during the dry (biomass burning) season; this implies that they decomposed into  $SO_4^{2-}$  during storage and/or extraction of filters such that more  $SO_4^{2-}$  was detected by IC on filter samples.

# Aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>

Figure 5 shows the relative difference (%) between aerosol  $NO_3^-$  and  $Cl^-$  measured with HiVol and WAD/SJAC during the dry (biomass burning) season along with HNO<sub>3</sub> and HCl.

The relative difference (%) between HiVol and WAD/SJAC for aerosol NO<sub>3</sub> and Cl<sup>-</sup> and is negative during nighttime (HiVol concentrations are lower than those of the WAD/SJAC) and positive during the day (HiVol concentrations exceed those of the WAD/SJAC). Aerosol  $NO_3^-$  and  $Cl^-$  are regarded as volatile aerosol compounds. Most studies report increasing evaporation (negative artifact) of volatile species from quartz-fiber filters with increasing temperature (Fehsenfeld et al. 1990; Keck and Wittmaack 2005; Schaap et al. 2004). The high equilibrium pressure of the salts at elevated temperatures give rise to high rates of volatilization in flowing air (Wittmaack and Keck 2004). However, our results show the opposite: more  $NO_3^-$  and  $Cl^-$  was found during daytime (T > 30%) on HiVol samples than measured with the WAD/SJAC (positive artifact). As reflected in Figure 5, the relative differences are apparently related to the concentrations of HNO<sub>3</sub> and HCl, which exceeded 500 ng m<sup>-3</sup> during the day and were below  $500 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  during the night. This implies that the measured NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>masses on the quartzfiber filters were dependent on the abundance of gaseous precursors HNO<sub>3</sub> and HCl. Aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> can also be lost from filter substrates due to chemical interaction between nitrate salts, particulate H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and gaseous HCl (Appel and Tokiwa 1981; Tsai and Perng 1998), which may have been the case at FNS under humid nighttime conditions. The relative difference between

the concentrations of aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> measured with SFU (polycarbonate filters) and WAD/SJAC were mostly negative (not shown), i.e., the SFU sampler recovered less aerosol  $NO_3^$ and Cl<sup>-</sup>than the WAD/SJAC (cf. Figure 1). The differences do not show a consistent day/night variation and no dependence on gas-phase precursors HNO3 and HCl is observed. Underestimation of the aerosol  $NO_3^-$  concentration is well known for Teflon filters (Appel et al. 1979; Fehsenfeld et al. 1990; Neil 2006; Schaap et al. 2004) and may also apply to Nuclepore<sup>®</sup> polycarbonate filters at the FNS site. Moreover, each sampler had different filter face velocities, which could impact the collection of semi-volatile material, e.g., a higher face velocity could potentially lead to larger evaporative losses. Thus, NO<sub>3</sub> and Cl<sup>-</sup> loss from the SFU could be caused by the large pressure drop (0.5)bar) across the 0.4  $\mu$ m polycarbonate filter. This pressure drop was only around 0.28 bar across the HiVol quartz-fiber filter.

The relative difference between the concentrations of aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> measured with DFP (Teflon filters) and WAD/SJAC (not shown, see also Figure 1) was always positive and no consistent variations were found between day and night. The concentrations found on the impregnated Whatman backup filters (not shown, see above) were often up to 50% of the values found on the Teflon filters. Previous studies in the Netherlands report that the DFP may suffer from artifact evaporation of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> from the Teflon filter (Slanina et al. 2001). Additionally, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> particles can be collected by the dry annular denuders (Khlystov et al. 1995). In our case, however, the concentrations of aerosol species measured with the DFP are significantly higher than measured with the WAD/SJAC, especially when biomass burning was prevalent. It should be noted that even under these polluted conditions, the concentrations of inorganic compounds at FNS are at least a factor of two lower than in the Netherlands. As shown in Figure 1, the concentrations of aerosol  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  determined with the DFP also significantly exceeded those determined with the SFU and HiVol during the dry season. Moreover, total concentrations for all ions determined with the DFP (i.e., sum of denuder, Teflon filter, and Whatman backup filter) exceed the total concentrations measured with the WAD/SJAC system (sum of gas and aerosol phase) by a factor of three to four (not shown). Theoretically, evaporation and condensation artifacts should not introduce significant biases to DFP results. However, in order to obtain reliable results using the DFP, a special laboratory should be used, where no other, possibly interfering activities are allowed (Slanina et al. 2001). As indicated by very high blank values as well as by high  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentrations found on the impregnated Whatman backup filters, these requirements were presumably not met under the prevailing field conditions at the FNS site. This assumption is supported by large intercepts found for WAD/SJAC-DPF correlations, in particular for aerosol  $NO_3^-$  (see Table 4).

In comparison, a comprehensive set of integrating samplers, including filters and combinations of coated denuders and filters were intercompared during the Atlanta Supersite Experiment in 1999 (Solomon et al. 2003). In contrast to our study, most of

266

these samplers agreed on average within  $\pm 30 - 35\%$  for aerosol  $NO_3^-$  at concentrations comparable to those observed during the dry season at the FNS site. Good agreement was found for aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> measured with filter samplers, a PILS and an AMS in New York City (Hogrefe et al. 2004). A reasonable overall comparability between semi-continuous and integrating samplers was also observed for aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during several other studies in the United States (e.g., Weber et al., 2003; Wittig et al. 2004; Rattigan et al. 2006). However, on individual days Weber et al. (2003) observed differences of a factor of two to three between semi-continuous and integrating samplers. Results from Pittsburgh (Wittig et al. 2004) and New York (Rattigan et al. 2006) showed differences of a factor of two or more between R&P semi-continuous aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 24-h integrated measurements for individual days. Significant differences (average of 50%) for aerosol  $NO_3^-$  were also observed between the R&P semi-continuous and Nylon filters (after removal of ambient gases using a MgO denuder) in Baltimore (Harrison et al. 2004). A study in tropical Hong Kong reported aerosol NO<sub>2</sub> losses of 36 to 55% and significant evaporation of HCl causing a chloride loss of 61 to 68% from Teflon filters (Pathak and Chan 2005). Negative  $NO_3^-$  artifacts ranging from -16 to -21% and large negative Cl<sup>-</sup> artifacts due to volatilization of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, ranging from -24 to -54% on quartz-fiber filters were found at a subtropical urban site in Taiwan (Tsai and Huang 1995).

#### Potential Inlet Problems and SJAC Sampling Efficiency

The WAD/SJAC system was compared in Atlanta to other semi-continuous devices for aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $NO_3^{-}$  and the agreement was within 10–15% (Weber et al. 2003). An intercomparison of the WAD/SJAC system with different filter-based methods in eastern Germany showed maximal differences of 18% for aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $NO_3^{-}$  (Schaap et al. 2004). During other studies, online-instruments recovered about 85% of the aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  mass collected by the filter techniques (Drewnick et al. 2003). It was argued that deviations can be the result of a combination of positive sampling artifacts on the filters with negative biases of the semi-continuous measurements (inlet line losses, limited collection efficiency for small particles).

In our study at a tropical site, consistent qualitative and quantitative differences between WAD/SJAC and integrating samplers were observed. The regression parameters in Table 4 neither exhibit a systematic structure nor a distinct seasonal variation. Large intercepts may allude to blank problems, but consistent systematic deviations were not observed. Hence, we exclude the possibility that these differences are due to the different analytical procedures used to analyze the samples. However, we like to note that each sampler uses a different method for determining the  $PM_{2.5}$  size selection, which introduces an additional uncertainty (particularly in case of the SFU sampler that only collected  $PM_{2.0}$ ). We may also not rule out the possibility that the observed discrepancies to some extent. These include: (a) inlet losses due to non-isokinetic sampling,

(b) collection of particles by the WAD, (c) evaporative losses of  $NH_4NO_3$  particles in the WAD, and (d) insufficient collection of small particles by the SJAC.

Average aerosol losses due to superisokinetic sampling have been estimated to below 3% ( $D_P \le 2.5 \ \mu m$ ) (Trebs et al. 2004). Consequently, possible inlet losses are not considered to contribute significantly to the observed differences. The flow in the WAD is laminar and particle losses may arise either from diffusion or from gravitational settling, which may become relevant for particles with  $D_P < 10$  nm and/or  $D_P > 1 \mu$ m, respectively (Slanina et al. 1992). Chang et al. (2002) reported that evaporative losses of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> particles due to disequilibrium after the gases have been removed from the air sample are important for ambient temperatures >25°C and for HNO<sub>3</sub> concentrations below 0.1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>. They predicted the evaporative loss as a function of residence time in the WAD for a  $PM_{2.5}$ -NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> fraction of 8%. Considering that the residence time of the air in the WAD for our study was 0.2 seconds, this theoretical prediction results in  $\sim 5\%$ evaporative losses of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> in the WAD. Significant aerosol losses would be observed as elevated concentrations in the WAD (e.g., atypical HNO<sub>3</sub> diel cycles), which were not found during the field measurements (cf. Trebs et al. 2005).

It has been stated previously that the SJAC sampler collects more than 99% of the total aerosol number in the size range of  $D_P = 19 - 886$  nm (Khlystov et al. 1995), where most of the discussed inorganic species reside. However, the SJAC sampler was never tested for particles with  $D_P < 19$  nm, which could contribute to losses. For instance, the first version of the PILS sampler was shown to have collection efficiencies below 80% for particles with  $D_P < 100$  nm due to nonactivation of smaller nuclei caused by limited mixing of water vapor and sample air (Weber et al. 2001). Such features may be important also for the SJAC; however, the sampler is normally operated with a laboratory-tested optimum steam injection rate, at which the supersaturation at instantaneous mixing is maximal (Khlystov et al. 1995). It should be considered that the supersaturation in the SJAC at air temperatures of 20°C is about two times higher than at  $30^{\circ}$ C. This is known to be problematic only when the steam injection rate is too low, but might have contributed to the observed differences, because the temperature of the sample air mostly exceeded 20°C at FNS.

Furthermore, Trebs et al. (2005) reported that  $K_2SO_4$  contributed to the inorganic aerosol fraction. This compound has a deliquescence RH of ~98% and its solubility is at least four times lower than that of  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  and  $NH_4NO_3$ . Figure 6 shows a very good linear correlation ( $r^2 = 0.91$ ) between the observed  $SO_4^{2-}$  difference of SFU and SJAC and the measured potassium (K) concentration using PIXE, clearly indicating that the aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  discrepancy is related to the presence of pyrogenic (K<sup>+</sup> containing) aerosols.

It could be speculated that the time to completely dissolve  $K_2SO_4$  in the SJAC (a few seconds) may have been too short, such that a fraction of  $SO_4^{2-}$  was not detected by the IC. In contrast, filters from integrating samplers were shaken or subjected



FIG. 6. Absolute aerosol  $SO_4^{2-}$  difference between SFU (PIXE) and SJAC versus aerosol K measured by PIXE at FNS during LBA-SMOCC 2002. Error bars indicate measurement uncertainty.

to vortex-agitation for 10 to 15 minutes. However, Figure 6 shows that the amount of equivalent moles of K<sup>+</sup>was not sufficient to entirely cause the observed  $SO_4^{2-}$  discrepancy. At least half of the difference was due to other processes, potentially organosulfates and/or suppressed  $SO_4^{2-}$  detection due to the presence of organic compounds in the WAD/SJAC system.

#### CONCLUSIONS

To our knowledge, this article presents the first intercomparison of a semi-continuous method (wet-annular denuder in combination with a Steam-Jet Aerosol Collector (WAD/SJAC)) with state of the art filter-based samplers for inorganic aerosol species ( $NO_3^-$ , Cl<sup>-</sup>,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $SO_4^{2-}$ ) under tropical conditions in the Southern Hemisphere (Amazon Basin, Brazil). Measurements were performed during (a) heavily polluted conditions during the late dry (biomass burning) season, (b) moderately polluted conditions (transition period), and (c) a period with fairly clean conditions during the onset of the wet season in 2002.

The agreement between the different sampling methods was poor. During the dry season, a High-Volume dichotomous sampler (HiVol, quartz-fiber filters) and a denuder-filter pack (DFP, Teflon and impregnated Whatman filters) measured concentrations that were on average a factor of two higher than those determined with the WAD/SJAC for all aerosol species. Aerosol  $NH_4^+$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  collected with stacked-filter units (SFU, polycarbonate filters) during the dry season also exceeded those measured with the WAD/SJAC on average by a factor of two, while aerosol concentrations of  $NO_3^-$  and  $CI^-$  collected with the SFU were nearly a factor of two lower than those measured with the WAD/SJAC. Differences were most pronounced during the dry season when biomass burning took place and decreased until the onset of the wet season. During cleaner conditions, aerosol concentrations measured with the WAD/SJAC mostly exceeded those of filter-based methods by about 40–90%. Moreover, substantial positive and/or negative deviations were found amongst the filter-based samplers.

Although, significant differences had been found for individual days during previous intercomparison studies in subtropical and temperate latitudes, on average discrepancies between integrating filter-based samplers and semi-continuous techniques did not exceed  $\pm 30\%$ . In most of the cases reasonable linear correlations were found for different sampling techniques. In contrast, at our tropical pasture site in the southwestern part of the Amazon Basin, we found consistent differences between filterbased samplers and the semi-continuous method, particularly under polluted conditions (biomass burning). It was not possible to clearly identify the reasons for the observed discrepancies. Most of the linear correlations between the different samplers were unsatisfying and did not reveal systematic differences between analytical techniques. We suggest that the discrepancies were largely attributable to a complex combination of different effects, such as:

- (a) significant changes of environmental conditions (temperature and RH) during long filter sampling periods (positive and negative filter sampling artifacts).
- (b) change of filter loading and filter chemistry during long filter sampling periods, especially under polluted conditions.
- (c) preponderance of the organic aerosol fraction (interaction of inorganic aerosol species with water-soluble organics collected on filter substrates especially under humid nighttime conditions (RH > 90%)).
- (d) presence of organosulfates that may have decomposed to SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> during filter storage and/or extraction.
- (e) inappropriate laboratory conditions for the handling of (impregnated) denuder-filter pack samples.
- (f) SJAC sampling efficiency less than 100%, potentially affected by pyrogenic aerosol compounds.

We conclude that the measurement of inorganic aerosol compounds is much more challenging under tropical conditions than in the temperate latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere. It is likely that the errors identified in this study also apply to previously published measurements, and that literature data collected under similar conditions should be viewed with caution. Filter sampling artifacts are more significant in tropical regions, where high temperatures and high RHs prevail. We would like to stress that a transfer of methodologies, tested under specific environmental conditions, to other conditions, may cause complex difficulties. The most disconcerting result of our study is that there was no technique that could be identified as a clearly superior "reference" method. This implies that there is a great need for carefully designed studies of the analytical chemistry of the major inorganic species in air masses influenced by biomass burning.

#### REFERENCES

268

- Allen, A. G. and Miguel, A. H. (1995). Biomass Burning in the Amazon— Characterization of the Ionic Component of Aerosols Generated from Flaming and Smoldering Rain-Forest and Savanna, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 29:486–493. Andreae, M. O. and Crutzen, P. J. (1997). Atmospheric Aerosols: Biogeochem-
- ical Sources and Role in Atmospheric Chemistry, *Science* 276:1052–1058.
- Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Brandao, C., Carswell, F. E., Ciccioli, P., da Costa, A. L., Culf, A. D., Esteves, J. L., Gash, J. H. C., Grace, J., Kabat, P., Lelieveld, J., Malhi, Y., Manzi, A. O., Meixner, F. X., Nobre, A. D., Nobre, C., Ruivo, M., Silva-Dias, M. A., Stefani, P., Valentini, R., von Jouanne, J., and Waterloo, M. J. (2002). Biogeochemical Cycling of Carbon, Water, Energy, Trace Gases, and Aerosols in Amazonia: The LBA-EUSTACH Experiments, *J. Geophys. Res.*—Atmospheres 107:8066, doi:8010.1029/2001JD000524.
- Andreae, M. O., Rosenfeld, D., Artaxo, P., Costa, A. A., Frank, G. P., Longo, K. M., and Silva-Dias, M. A. F. (2004). Smoking Rain Clouds Over the Amazon, *Science* 303:1337–1342.
- Appel, B. R., Wall, S. M., Tokiwa, Y., and Haik, M. (1979). Interference Effects in Sampling Particulate Nitrate in Ambient Air, Atmos. Environ. 13:319–325.
- Appel, B. R. and Tokiwa, Y. (1981). Atmospheric Particulate Nitrate Sampling Errors Due to Reactions with Particulate and Gaseous Strong Acids, *Atmos. Environ.* 15:1087–1089.
- Appel, B. R., Tokiwa, Y., Haik, M., and Kothny, E. L. (1984). Artifact Particulate Sulfate and Nitrate Formation on Filter Media, *Atmos. Environ.* 18:409–416.
- Artaxo, P. and Orsini, C. (1987). Pixe and Receptor Models Applied to Remote Aerosol Source Apportionment in Brazil, *Nuclear Instruments & Methods* in *Physics Research Section B-Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* 22:259–263.
- Ayers, G. P. (2001). Comment on Regression Analysis of Air Quality Data, Atmos. Environ. 35:2423–2425.
- Blando, J. D., Poreja, R. J., Li, T. H., Bowman, D., Lioy, P. J., and Turpin, B. J. (1998). Secondary formation and the Smoky Mountain organic aerosol: An examination of aerosol polarity and functional group composition during SEAVS, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32:604–613.
- Chang, K. F., Lu, C. S., Bai, H. L., and Fang, G. C. (2002). A theoretical evaluation on the HNO<sub>3</sub> artifact of the annular denuder system due to evaporation and diffusional deposition of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>-containing aerosols, *Atmospheric En*vironment 26:4357–4366.
- Coutant, R. W. (1977). Effect of Environmental Variables on Collection of Atmospheric Sulfate, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 11:873–878.
- Drewnick, F., Schwab, J. J., Hogrefe, O., Peters, S., Husain, L., Diamond, D., Weber, R., and Demerjian, K. L. (2003). Intercomparison and evaluation of four semi-continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> sulfate instruments, *Atmos. Environ.* 37:3335– 3350.
- Eatough, D. J., Lewis, L. J., Eatough, M., and Lewis, E. A. (1995). Sampling Artifacts in the Determination of Particulate Sulfate and SO2(G) in the Desert Southwest Using Filter Pack Samplers, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 29:787–791.
- Falkovich, A. H., Schkolnik, G., Ganor, E., and Rudich, Y. (2004). Adsorption of Organic Compounds Pertinent to Urban Environments onto Mineral Dust Particles, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 109.
- Fehsenfeld, F. C., Drummond, J. W., Roychowdhury, U. K., Galvin, P. J., Williams, E. J., Buhr, M. P., Parrish, D. D., Hubler, G., Langford, A. O., Calvert, J. G., Ridley, B. A., Grahek, F., Heikes, B. G., Kok, G. L., Shetter, J. D., Walega, J. G., Elsworth, C. M., Norton, R. B., Fahey, D. W., Murphy, P. C., Hovermale, C., Mohnen, V. A., Demerjian, K. L., Mackay, G. I., and Schiff, H. I. (1990). Intercomparison of NO2 Measurement Techniques, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 95:3579–3597.
- Fuzzi, S., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Cavalli, F., Emblico, L., Mircea, M., Andreae, M. O., Trebs, I., Hoffer, A., Guyon, P., Artaxo, P., Rizzo, L. V., Lara, L. L., Pauliquevis, T., Maenhaut, W., Raes, N., Chi, X. G., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Soto-Garcia, L. L., Claeys, M., Kourtchev, I., Rissler, J., Swietlicki, E., Tagliavini, E., Schkolnik, G., Falkovich, A. H., Rudich, Y., Fisch, G., and Gatti, L. V. (2007). Overview of the Inorganic and Organic Composition of Size-Segregated Aerosol in Rondonia, Brazil, from the Biomass-Burning Period to the Onset of the Wet Season, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 112: doi:01210.01029/02005JD006741.

- Graham, B., Guyon, P., Maenhaut, W., Taylor, P. E., Ebert, M., Matthias-Maser, S., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Godoi, R. H. M., Artaxo, P., Meixner, F. X., Moura, M. A. L., Rocha, C., Van Grieken, R., Glovsky, M. M., Flagan, R. C., and Andreae, M. O. (2003). Composition and Diurnal Variability of the Natural Amazonian Aerosol, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 108:4765, doi:4710.1029/2003JD004049.
- Halfon, E. (1995). Regression Method in Ecotoxicology: A Better Formulation Using the Geometric Mean Functional Regression, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 19:747–749.
- Harrison, D., Park, S. S., Ondov, J., Buckley, T., Kim, S. R., and Jayanty, R. K. M. (2004). Highly time resolved fine particle nitrate measurements at the Baltimore Supersite, *Atmos. Environ.* 38:5321–5332.
- Hoffer, A., Gelencser, A., Blazso, M., Guyon, P., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O. (2006). Diel and seasonal variations in the chemical composition of biomass burning aerosol, *Atmos. Chem. Physics* 6:3505–3515.
- Hogrefe, O., Schwab, J. J., Drewnick, F., Lala, G. G., Peters, S., Demerjian, K. L., Rhoads, K., Felton, H. D., Rattigan, O. V., Husain, L., and Dutkiewicz, V. A. (2004). Semicontinuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> sulfate and nitrate measurements at an urban and a rural location in New York: PMTACS-NY summer 2001 and 2002 campaigns, J. Air & Waste Manag. Assoc. 54:1040–1060.
- Hopke, P. K., Xie, Y., Raunemaa, T., Biegalski, S., Landsberger, S., Maenhaut, W., Artaxo, P., and Cohen, D. (1997). Characterization of the Gent stacked filter unit PM<sub>10</sub> sampler, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 27:726-000000–735.
- Iinuma, Y., Muller, C., Boge, O., Gnauk, T., and Herrmann, H. (2007). The formation of organic sulfate esters in the limonene ozonolysis secondary organic aerosol (SOA) under acidic conditions, *Atmos. Environ.* 41:5571–5583.
- Keck, L. and Wittmaack, K. (2005). Effect of filter type and temperature on volatilisation losses from ammonium salts in aerosol matter, *Atmos. Environ.* 39:4093–4100.
- Keuken, M. P. (1989). The determination of acid-deposition related compounds in the lower atmosphere, University of Amsterdam, Amstderdam, 88–97.
- Khlystov, A., Wyers, G. P., and Slanina, J. (1995). The Steam-Jet Aerosol Collector, Atmos. Environ. 29:2229–2234.
- Kirkman, G. A., Gut, A., Ammann, C., Gatti, L. V., Cordova, A. M., Moura, M. A. L., Andreae, M. O., and Meixner, F. X. (2002). Surface exchange of nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide, and ozone at a cattle pasture in Rondonia, Brazil, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 107:8083, doi:8010.1029/2001JD000523.
- Lee, Y. N., Weber, R., Ma, Y. L., Orsini, D., Maxwell-Meier, K., Blake, D., Meinardi, S., Sachse, G., Harward, C., Chen, T. Y., Thornton, D., Tu, F. H., and Bandy, A. (2003). Airborne measurement of inorganic ionic components of fine aerosol particles using the particle-into-liquid sampler coupled to ion chromatography technique during ACE-Asia and TRACE-P, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 108:8646, doi:8610.1029/2002JD003265.
- Liggio, J. and Li, S. M. (2006). Organosulfate formation during the uptake of pinonaldehyde on acidic sulfate aerosols, *Geophysical Research Letters* 33.
- Lipfert, F. W. (1994). Filter Artifacts Associated with Particulate Measurements—Recent-Evidence and Effects on Statistical Relationships, *Atmos. Environ.* 28:3233–3249.
- Ma, Y., Weber, R. J., Maxwell-Meier, K., Orsini, D. A., Lee, Y.-N., Huebert, B. J., Howell, S. G., Bertram, T., Talbot, R. W., Dibb, J. E., and Scheuer, E. (2004). Intercomparisons of airborne measurements of aerosol ionic chemical composition during TRACE-P and ACE-Asia, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 109:doi:10.1029/2003JD003673.
- Mace, K. A., Artaxo, P., and Duce, R. A. (2003). Water-soluble organic nitrogen in Amazon Basin aerosols during the dry (biomass burning) and wet seasons, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 108: 4512, doi:4510.1029/2003JD003557.
- Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Guyon, P., Graham, B., Roberts, G., Andreae, M. O., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Fuzzi, S., and Artaxo, P. (2002). Water-soluble organic compounds in biomass burning aerosols over Amazonia—2. Apportionment of the chemical composition and importance of the polyacidic fraction, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 107:8091, doi:8010.1029/2001JD000522.
- Mozurkewich, M. (1993). The Dissociation-Constant of Ammonium-Nitrate and Its Dependence on Temperature, Relative-Humidity and Particle-Size, *Atmos. Environ. Part A—General Topics* 27:261–270.

- Cities, J. Air & Waste Manag. Assoc. 56:500.
  Pathak, R. K. and Chan, C. K. (2005). Inter-particle and gas-particle interactions in sampling artifacts of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in filter-based samplers, Atmos. Environ. 39:1597–1607.
- Rattigan, O. V., Hogrefe, O., Felton, H. D., Schwab, J. J., Roychowdhury, U. K., Husain, L., Dutkiewicz, V. A., and Demerjian, K. L. (2006). Multi-year urban and rural semi-continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> sulfate and nitrate measurements in New York state: Evaluation and comparison with filter based measurements, *Atmos. Environ*. 40:S192–S205
- Romero, F. and Oehme, M. (2005). Organosulfates—A new component of humic-like substances in atmospheric aerosols? *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry* 52:283–294.
- Schaap, M., Spindler, G., Schulz, M., Acker, K., Maenhaut, W., Berner, A., Wieprecht, W., Streit, N., Müller, K., Brüggemann, E., Chi, X., Putaud, J.-P., Hitzenberger, R., Puxbaum, H., Baltensperger, U., and ten Brink, H. M. (2004). Artefacts in the sampling of nitrate studied in the "INTERCOMP" campaigns of EUROTRAC-AEROSOL, *Atmos. Environ.* 38:6487–6496.
- Simon, P. K. and Dasgupta, P. K. (1993). Wet Effluent Denuder Coupled Liquid Ion Chromatography Systems—Annular and Parallel-Plate Denuders, *Anal. Chem.* 65:1134–1139.
- Simon, P. K. and Dasgupta, P. K. (1995). Continuous Automated Measurement of Gaseous Nitrous and Nitric—Acids and Particulate Nitrite and Nitrate, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 29:1534–1541.
- Slanina, J., de Wild, P. J., and Wyers, G. P. (1992). The application of denuder systems to the analysis of atmospheric components. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Slanina, J., ten Brink, H. M., Otjes, R. P., Even, A., Jongejan, P., Khlystov, A., Waijers-Ijpelaan, A., and Hu, M. (2001). The continuous analysis of nitrate and ammonium in aerosols by the steam jet aerosol collector (SJAC): Extension and validation of the methodology, *Atmos. Environ.* 35:2319–2330.
- Solomon, P., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E., Tanner, R., Eatough, D., Modey, W., Marin, H., Savoie, D., Natarajan, S., Meyer, M. B., and Norris, G. (2003). Comparison of integrated samplers for mass and composition during the 1999 Atlanta Supersites project, *J. Geophys. Res.*—*Atmospheres* 108:8423, doi:8410.1029/2001JD001218.
- Solomon, P. A., Moyers, J. L., and Fletcher, R. A. (1983). Highvolume dichotomous virtual impactor for the fractionation and collection of particles according to aerodynamic size, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 2:455–464.
- Stelson, A. W. and Seinfeld, J. H. (1982). Relative-Humidity and Temperature-Dependence of the Ammonium-Nitrate Dissociation-Constant, *Atmos. Envi*ron. 16:983–992.
- Surratt, J. D., Kroll, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., Claeys, M., Sorooshian, A., Ng, N. L., Offenberg, J. H., Lewandowski, M., Jaoui, M., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H. (2007). Evidence for organosulfates in secondary organic aerosol, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41:517–527.

- Talbot, R. W., Andreae, M. O., Andreae, T. W., and Harriss, R. C. (1988). Regional Aerosol Chemistry of the Amazon Basin During the Dry Season, J. Geophys. Res.—Atmospheres 93:1499–1508.
- Trebs, I., Meixner, F. X., Slanina, J., Oties, R. P., Jongejan, P., and Andreae, M. O. (2004). Real-time measurements of ammonia, acidic trace gases and water-soluble inorganic aerosol species at a rural site in the Amazon Basin, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*:967–987.
- Trebs, I., Metzger, S., Meixner, F. X., Helas, G., Hoffer, A., Rudich, Y., Falkovich, A., Moura, M. A. L., Da Silva, R. J., Artaxo, P., Slanina, J., and Andreae, M. O. (2005). The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Cl<sup>-</sup>-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O aerosol system and its gas phase precursors at a pasture site in the Amazon Basin: How relevant are mineral cations and soluble organic acids? *J. Geophys. Res.*—Atmospheres 110:doi:10.1029/2004JD005478.
- Trebs, I., Lara, L. S., Zeri, L. M., Gatti, L. V., Artaxo, P., Dlugi, R., Slanina, J., Andreae, M. O., and Meixner, F. X. (2006). Dry and wet deposition of atmospheric inorganic nitrogen in a tropical environment (Rondônia, Brazil), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 6:447–469.
- Tsai, C. J. and Huang, H. Y. (1995). Atmospheric Aerosol Sampling by an Annular Denuder System and a High-Volume PM(10) Sampler, *Environment International* 21:283–291.
- Tsai, C. J. and Perng, S. N. (1998). Artifacts of ionic species for Hi-Vol PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> dichotomous samplers, *Atmos. Environ.* 32:1605– 1613.
- Weber, R., Orsini, D., Duan, Y., Baumann, K., Kiang, C. S., Chameides, W., Lee, Y. N., Brechtel, F., Klotz, P., Jongejan, P., ten Brink, H., Slanina, J., Boring, C. B., Genfa, Z., Dasgupta, P., Hering, S., Stolzenburg, M., Dutcher, D. D., Edgerton, E., Hartsell, B., Solomon, P., and Tanner, R. (2003). Intercomparison of near real time monitors of PM<sub>2.5</sub> nitrate and sulfate at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Atlanta Supersite, *J. Geophys. Res.*— *Atmos.* 108:8421, doi:8410.1029/2001JD001220.
- Weber, R. J., Orsini, D., Daun, Y., Lee, Y. N., Klotz, P. J., and Brechtel, F. (2001). A particle-into-liquid collector for rapid measurement of aerosol bulk chemical composition, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 35:718–727.
- Wittig, A. E., Takaham, S., Khlystov, A. Y., Pandis, S. N., Heringe, S., Kirbye, B., and Davidson, C. (2004). Semi-continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> inorganic composition measurements during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, *Atmos. Environ.* 38:3201–3213.
- Wittmaack, K. and Keck, L. (2004). Thermodesorption of aerosol matter on multiple filters of different materials for a more detailed evaluation of sampling artifacts, *Atmos. Environ.* 38:5205–5215.
- Yamasoe, M. A., Artaxo, P., Miguel, A. H., and Allen, A. G. (2000). Chemical composition of aerosol particles from direct emissions of vegetation fires in the Amazon Basin: water-soluble species and trace elements, *Atmos. Environ.* 34:1641–1653.
- Yu, X.-Y., Lee, T., Ayres, B., Kreidenweis, S. M., Malm, W., and Collett Jr., J. L. (2006). Loss of fine particle ammonium from denuded nylon filters, *Atmos. Environ.* 40:4797–4807.